CHAPTER FOUR

Uranium Bioreduction and Biomineralization

Rehemanjiang Wufuer*, Yongyang Wei*, Qinghua Lin[§], Huawei Wang*, Wenjuan Song*, Wen Liu*, Daoyong Zhang^{*,¶}, Xiangliang Pan^{*,¶,1} and Geoffrey Michael Gadd^{*,||}

*Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China

[§]Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, China

[¶]Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China

University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, United Kingdom

¹Corresponding author: E-mail: panxl@zjut.edu.cn

Contents

1.	Introduction	138	
2.	Uranium Species and Mobility	139	
3.	Uranium Bioreduction	141	
	3.1 Reductive Microorganisms	141	
	3.2 Reductive Mechanisms	142	
	3.3 Influential Factors	144	
	3.3.1 Oxidants	144	
	3.3.2 Electron Donors and Carbonates	147	
	3.3.3 Different Types of U(IV) End Products	148	
	3.3.4 pH, Redox Potential, and Other Factors	149	
4.	Uranium Biomineralization With Phosphate	150	
	4.1 Mechanisms and Microbes	150	
	4.2 Obstacles and Future Potential	155	
5.	Uranium Biomineralization With Carbonate	155	
6.	Uranium Biomineralization With Silicate	157	
7.	Conclusions	157	
Ac	Acknowledgments		
Ret	158		

Abstract

Following the development of nuclear science and technology, uranium contamination has been an ever increasing concern worldwide because of its potential for migration from the waste repositories and long-term contaminated environments. Physical and chemical techniques for uranium pollution are expensive and challenging. An alternative to these technologies is microbially mediated uranium bioremediation in contaminated water and soil environments due to its reduced cost and environmental friendliness. To date, four basic mechanisms of uranium bioremediation—uranium bioreduction, biosorption, biomineralization, and bioaccumulation—have been established, of which uranium bioreduction and biomineralization have been studied extensively. The objective of this review is to provide an understanding of recent developments in these two fields in relation to relevant microorganisms, mechanisms, influential factors, and obstacles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Uranium is a very common radioactive element and exists in all types of rocks, in varying low concentrations. It is widely distributed in the earth's crust, rocks, and soils at a level of about 2–4 ppm (Appleton & Appleton, 2007). Uranium, the heaviest element in nature, exists in three isotopes, uranium 234, uranium 235 and uranium 238, all of which are radioactive. The most abundant isotope is uranium 238 (99.27%), with uranium 235 (0.72%) being the second most abundant. U(IV) and U(VI) are the most important oxidation states in natural environments (Meinrath, Schneider, & Meinrath, 2003).

The toxicity of uranium is determined by its chemical and radioactive properties. In general, the more soluble the uranium compound is, the more toxic it becomes. The main radiation risk to humans occurs when uranium compounds are inhaled or ingested. Less soluble uranium compounds are of low to moderate toxicity, while soluble compounds are highly toxic. In general, hexavalent uranium, which forms soluble compounds, is more toxic than less soluble tetravalent uranium minerals (Craig, 2001). All uranium mixtures are considered to be toxic and may cause nephrotoxic effects (Duraković, 1999). The existence of higher levels of uranium in the human body affects renal function, and very high concentrations may lead to kidney failure. The main mechanisms of uranium entry into the human body are through ingestion of contaminated water and inhalation of contaminated dust, especially in locations where soil and groundwater are contaminated by radioactive waste (Choy, Korfiatis, & Meng, 2006).

Elevated concentrations of uranium are present in uranium mining and milling sites. The major portion of these contaminants will accumulate in either the upper layers of soil or in aquatic sediments (Igwe, Nnorom, & Gbaruko, 2005). Other sources of environmental uranium include nuclear testing in the 1950s and 1960s and accidental releases, e.g., from Chernobyl in 1986. Such incidents have caused an enormous amount of damage to the environment because of improper radioactive waste disposal, waste dumping, and other release incidents (Lloyd & Lovley, 2001). Water seepage is a persistent environmental issue at most abandoned mine sites, and this continues to influence the quality of the natural environment, affecting surface and ground waters, and posing a health risk to humans (Hill, 2004).

In soil and groundwater environments, aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms are ubiquitous. They may interact with uranium via different mechanisms such as bioreduction, biomineralization, biosorption, and bioaccumulation, among which uranium bioreduction has been studied extensively and successfully demonstrated in contaminated field sites (Anderson et al., 2003; Gihring et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2011). Due to its stability in varied environmental conditions, uranium biomineralization, which may also result from bioreduction, has also been the subject of much research. In addition to bacteria, fungi are also capable of uranium biotransformations (Fomina, Charnock, Bowen, & Gadd, 2007; Fomina, Charnock, Hillier, Alvarez, & Gadd, 2007; Fomina et al., 2008; Liang, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2016; Liang et al., 2015). The aim of this review is to outline some recent developments in uranium bioreduction and biomineralization research with bacteria and fungi regarding mechanisms, influential factors, and obstacles to application.

2. URANIUM SPECIES AND MOBILITY

In natural environments, uranium is present as various chemical species such as oxides, precipitates, complexes, and natural minerals, as well as existing in the elemental form. Hexavalent uranium U(VI) and tetravalent uranium U(IV) are the most common oxidized forms of uranium in natural systems (Rai, Yui, & Moore, 2003). Uranium is present as uraninite (formerly pitchblende) and can coprecipitate with carbonate, phosphate, silicate, and vanadate in ores (Francis, Dodge, Lu, Halada, & Clayton, 1994; Harper & Kantar, 2008). Uraninite is the most common uranium oxide and may exist as UO₂ [U(IV)] or triuranium octaoxide (U₃O₈) forms; the latter contains a mixture of U(IV) and U(VI). U(VI) occurs in schoepite and other minerals in oxidized environments (Kashparov, Oughton, Zvarich, Protsak, & Levchuk, 1999). Metaautunite,

phosphuranylite, and uranyl hydroxide (schoepite) are the primary U(VI) precipitates in uranium-contaminated soils and sediments at the U.S. DOE Fernald site (Morris et al., 1996).

The mobility of uranium species in the environment depends on its speciation and geochemical factors including pH, redox potential, hydrolysis, dissolution, complexation, and sorption. Under usual oxidizing conditions, uranium typically exists in aqueous U(VI) forms, predominantly as the free uranyl ion (UO_2^{2+}) in acidic conditions (pH <5), and hydroxyl complexes such as $UO_2(OH)^+$, $UO_2(OH)_2$, and $UO_2(OH)_3^{-1}$ in slightly acidic environments (pH 5.0-6.5) and in the absence of complexing ligands such as dissolved carbonate, sulfate, and phosphate (Haas, Bailey, & Purvis, 1998; Langmuir, 1997). Under low pH conditions, aqueous U(VI) can strongly adsorb to manganese oxides and ferric oxides (Han, Zou, Yi, & Lu, 2007; Waite, Davis, Payne, Waychunas, & Xu, 1994). At pH 5.5 and 7.0, about 80% and 98% of U(VI) was adsorbed to ferric oxide-rich soil in a uranium-contaminated site at Oak Ridge, TN, United States (Barnett, Jardine, & Brooks, 2002). In higher pH environments (pH \geq 7), and in the presence of elevated concentrations of carbonate, UO_2^{2+} forms strong aqueous complexes, such as UO_2CO_3 , $(UO_2)_2CO_3 (OH)^{3-}$, $UO_2(CO_3)_2^{2-}$, and $UO_2(CO_3)_2^{4-}$, thereby, greatly enhancing U(VI) solubility in carbonate-containing aquatic environments (Langmuir, 1997; Ulrich et al., 2009). These complexes are neutral or anionic, and are poorly adsorbed to mineral surfaces such as Fe(III) and Al(III) oxyhydroxides (Katsoyiannis, 2007; Waite et al., 1994). Through formation of anionic uranyl tricarbonate $[UO_2(CO_3)_3^{2-}]$, the mobility of uranyl increases above pH 8 (Waite et al., 1994). In addition, the presence of calcium may promote the formation of calcium-uranyl-carbonate complexes, further inhibiting U(VI) sorption (Stewart, Mayes, & Fendorf, 2010). The formation of highly stable aqueous Ca-uranyl-carbonate species is likely to decrease the extent of U(VI) reduction since uranyl hydroxyl species are more easily reduced than uranyl carbonates (Brooks et al., 2003; Fox et al., 2013). Other than carbonates, other ligands such as sulfate, phosphate, nitrate, and chloride also complex with U(VI) in acidic environments. The complexation affinity of U(VI) with these inorganic ligands decreases in the order $CO_3^{2-} > PO_4^{3-} > SO_4^{2-} > Cl^- > NO_3^-$ (Harper & Kantar, 2008). Furthermore, U(VI) also forms soluble complexes with organic ligands such as citrate and oxalate (Hefnawy, Elsaid, Hussein, & Amin, 2002). Dissolved U(VI) is considerably more bioavailable for reduction than adsorbed and precipitated or solid-phase U(VI) (Liu et al., 2006).

In short, the mobility of U(VI) in aquatic environments is likely to be driven mainly by pH, U(VI) speciation, ligand types, and their complexation reactions.

3. URANIUM BIOREDUCTION

3.1 Reductive Microorganisms

Many species of prokaryotes can reduce U(VI) to U(IV) (Suzuki & Suko, 2006). The most common Fe(III)-reducing bacteria are able to use U(VI) as an alternative electron acceptor and reduce it to insoluble U(IV) minerals. In addition, sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) can reduce U(VI) to U(IV) (Lovley, Holmes, & Nevin, 2004; Lovley, Phillips, Gorby, & Landa, 1991). Fe(III) reducers are related to uranium reducing Geobacter uraniireducens and Geobacter daltonii, while sulfate reducers are identified as members of e.g., Desulfovibrio, Desulfobacterium, and Desulfotomaculum genera (Akob et al., 2012). In general, Geobacter species dominate the anaerobic microbial community during U(VI) bioreduction (Chandler et al., 2010; Yunjuan Chang et al., 2005) and are found in abundance with various electron donors including acetate, lactate, and glucose (Snoeyenboswest, Nevin, Anderson, & Lovley, 2000). Initial bioreduction of uranium was related to enrichment of Geobacter species with sulfate reducers becoming predominant after 30-50 days incubation (Anderson et al., 2003; Barlett, Zhuang, Mahadevan, & Lovley, 2012). However, there was little competition between Geobacter spp. and sulfate reducers when sufficient acetate was present (Barlett, Zhuang, et al., 2012).

In natural environments, it is often difficult to determine which microbes are responsible for U(VI) reduction due to the presence of other electron acceptors such as nitrate, and various metal ions, which also support anaerobic respiration. However, it is common to ascribe a role in U(VI) reduction to the most dominant microbes in the environment (Williams et al., 2011). Many environmental factors (e.g., pH, salinity, temperature, redox potential, organic substrates, contaminants) influence which microorganisms predominate during in situ U(VI) bioremediation (Barlett, Zhuang, et al., 2012; Vishnivetskaya et al., 2010). *Desulfovibrio* spp. are dominant in a sulfate-reducing enrichment, while *Clostridium* spp., *Ferribacterium* spp., and *Geothrix* spp. are dominant in an iron-reducing enrichment (Boonchayaanant, Nayak, Du, & Criddle, 2009; Cardenas et al., 2008). *Geobacter, Desulfuromonales, Desulfovibrio, Desulfosporosinus*,

Anaeromyxobacter, and Acidovorax spp. were enriched with ethanol and acetate (Cardenas et al., 2008; Luo et al., 2007), while Geobacter spp. and acetogens such as Clostridium and Desulfosporosinus spp. were enriched by ethanol and methanol (Madden et al., 2009). Comamonadaceae, Geobacteriaceae, and Desulfobacterales were associated with U(VI) reduction when enriched with emulsified vegetable oil (EVO) (Gihring et al., 2011). Geobacter species were the predominant "Geobacteraceae" in subsurface groundwater, whereas Desulfuromonas species predominated in saline groundwater (Finneran, Anderson, Nevin, & Lovley, 2002). Ralstonia and Dechloromonas spp. were widely found at low nitrate neutral pH sites, while Castellaniella and Burkholderia spp. were present at acidic high nitrate uranium-contaminated sites (Spain & Krumholz, 2011). Pseudomonas sp., Pantoea sp., and Enterobacter sp. are able to reduce U(VI) under pH 5-6 (Chabalala & Chirwa, 2010). Among the sulfate reducers, some of them have been reported to reduce U(VI), while some of them do not. For example, Desulfovibrio and Clostrodium sp. can effectively reduce U(VI) (Tapia-Rodriguez, Luna-Velasco, Field, & Sierra-Alvarez, 2010), while Desulfobacter and Desulfotomaculum sp. enriched with acetate did not reduce U(VI) (Lovley, Roden, Phillips, & Woodward, 1993). Some microorganisms related to U(VI) bioreduction are listed in Table 1.

Fungi are also important components of subsurface microbial populations and may tolerate higher uranium concentrations than many bacteria (Mumtaz et al., 2013). However, it is speculative that any fungi may be able to reduce U(VI) to U(IV) in the absence of any relevant research to date (Gadd & Fomina, 2011).

3.2 Reductive Mechanisms

The pathway of electron flow from electron donors to U(VI), the number of electrons transferred to U(VI), the enzymes and genes involved in U(VI) reduction, and the competition between U(VI) and other electron acceptors have been key areas for research into processes of U(VI) reduction. Bacterial pili have been proposed to transfer electrons from the cell to electron acceptors due to their high conductivity (Reguera et al., 2005). Further, the participation of these nanowires in U(VI) reduction is implied from the location of U(IV) precipitates on cells and the formation of "needle-like structures" with precipitated uraninite on cell surfaces of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* G20 (Marsili, Beyenal, Di Palma,

Bacteria	conditions/electron donors	References
Geobacter metallireducens	H_2	Lovley et al. (1991)
Desulfovibrio desulfuricans	Lactate/H ₂	Lovley and Phillips (1992)
Desulfovibrio vulgaris	H ₂	Lovley, Widman, Woodward, and Phillips (1993)
Desulfotomaculum reducens	Low pH, nitrate- contaminated site	Shelobolina, Sullivan, O'Neill, Nevin, and Lovley (2004)
Desulfovibrio, Desulfobacterium and Desulfotomaculum (sulfate reducers)	Ethanol	Akob et al. (2012)
Geobacter daltonii and Geobacter uraniireducens (iron reducers)		
Geobacter, Desulfovibrio, Desulfosporosinus, Anaeromyxobacter, and Acidovorax spp.	Ethanol	Cardenas et al. (2008)
Desulfovibrio spp. and Clostridium spp.	Ethanol	Boonchayaanant et al. (2009)
Geobacter, Desulfuromonales, and Desulfovibrio	Ethanol and acetate	Luo et al. (2007)
Geobacter, Clostridium, and Desulfosporosinus	Ethanol and methanol	Madden et al. (2009)
Desulfovibrio, Clostridium, and Clostrodium spp.	In anaerobic granular sludge	Tapia-Rodriguez et al. (2010)
Desulforegula, Veillonellaceae, Comamonadaceae, Geobacteriaceae, and Desulfobacterales	Emulsified vegetable oil	Gihring et al. (2011)
Ralstonia and Dechloromonas spp.	Low nitrate neutral pH sites	Spain and Krumholz (2011)
Castellaniella and Burkholderia spp.	Acidic high nitrate sites	
<i>Ferrihacterium</i> spp	Acidic high	
Pseudomonas sp., Pantoea sp., and	pH 5–6	Chabalala and Chirwa (2010)
Enterovacter sp. Shewanella putrefaciens	H ₂ /lactate	Fredrickson et al. (2002)

Table 1 U(VI) bioreduction-related microorganisms Environmental

Merli, & Dohnalkova, 2005). U(VI) reduction to U(IV) seems to require two electrons. However, Renshaw et al. (2005) suggested a single-electon reduction of U(VI) to U(V), which could form U(IV) and U(VI) by disproportionation. Many researchers have confirmed uraninite located both on the outer membrane and concentrated in the periplasm of bacterial cells (Liu, Gorby, Zachara, Fredrickson, & Brown, 2002; Liu, Jeon, et al., 2002; Lloyd, Leang, Hodges-Myerson, Coppi, & Cuifo, 2003). The dsr and mer genes increase under sulfate-reducing conditions while c-type cytochrome genes are primarily associated with Geobacter sp. (Liang et al., 2012). U(VI) was preferentially reduced to U(IV) by Geobacter metallireducens, with abiotic transfer of electrons to F(III) oxide (Nevin & Lovley, 2000). Introduction of U(VI) into the reaction system decreased F(III) reduction, implying that uranium was the preferred terminal electron acceptor compared to Fe(III) (Neiss, Stewart, Nico, & Fendorf, 2007). However, the results of Bruce, Benjamin, Colleen, Rosenzweig, and Fendorf (2000) contrast with the above reports in that Fe(III)-reducing microorganisms preferentially reduced Fe(III) over U(VI). When Fe(III) was depleted, sulfate reducers preferentially reduced U(VI) prior to reducing sulfate because U(VI) reduction is more energetically favorable than sulfate reduction (Coleman, Hedrick, Lovley, White, & Pye, 1993; Lovley, Roden, et al., 1993). It is still questionable whether electron acceptors such as U(VI), Fe(III), nitrate, and sulfate are reduced following the order of their redox potential. If not, other factors that decide electron transfer priority among such ions should be clarified. A schematic illustration of U(VI) reduction is shown in Fig. 1.

3.3 Influential Factors

Many factors including oxidants, electron donors, U(IV) end products, pH, redox potential, and others may affect U(VI) reduction efficiency and bioreduced U(IV) stability.

3.3.1 Oxidants

In natural environments, oxidants such as oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, Fe(III), and Mn(III,IV) may compete for electrons with U(VI), affecting U(VI) reduction efficiency and bioreduced U(IV) stability.

Both oxygen states (dissolved or gaseous) and concentration may affect bioreduced U(IV) stability. Nearly all bioreduced U(IV) was reoxidized within 120 days when media was gassed with oxygen (Komlos, Peacock, Kukkadapu, & Jaffe, 2008), while another study showed that 88% of



Figure 1 Diagram of U(VI) bioreduction mechanisms, emphasizing the possibility of U(VI) reduction in both the cell outer membrane and periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria. Electrons are transferred from the bacteria to UO_2^{2+} leading to the formation of uraninite or monomeric U(VI). *CM*, cytoplasmic membrane; *CS*, cytoplasm; *OM*, outer membrane; *PS*, periplasm (see Judy & Lee, 2006; Yung et al., 2014; Newsome, Morris, & Lloyd, 2014).

bioreduced U(IV) was remobilized after exposure to dissolved oxygen within 54 days (Moon, Komlos, & Jaffé, 2007). In contrast, only 17% of bioreduced U(IV) minerals were remobilized in one month after exposure to 1-2 mg/L of dissolved oxygen in groundwater sediments from the Rifle site (N'Guessan et al., 2010). Only 7% of bioreduced U(IV) in sediment samples from the Hanford site was remobilized after exposure to oxic river water for 50 days. The remaining 93% of bioreduced uranium was found to be as nanoparticulate uraninite, which is resistant to reoxidation at low dissolved oxygen concentrations (Ahmed et al., 2012). It is interesting to note that addition of oxygen to a *Desulfovibrio*-dominated sulfate-reducing process led to an almost complete reoxidation of bioreduced U(IV). However, this had a limited effect on the *Clostridium*-dominated iron-reducing process (Luo et al., 2007).

Nitrates have a higher electron potential and are more energetically favorable than U(VI) and F(III). Therefore, the presence of nitrate inhibits the development of conditions for U(VI) reduction (Istok et al., 2004). In addition, a high concentration of nitrate can inhibit the growth and metabolism of SRB and affect the treatment efficiency of uranium wastewater (Hu, Wang, Tao, Wang, & Ding, 2011). U(VI) reduction by *D. desulfuricans* was slightly inhibited by the presence of 190 mM nitrate,

but not by nitrate concentrations lower than 95 mM (Ganesh, Robinson, Reed, & Sayler, 1997). The presence of nitrate is preferential at low pH conditions because consequent denitrification produces OH⁻ and HCO₃⁻ thereby neutralizing the pH and stimulating metal reduction (Law et al., 2010; Thorpe et al., 2012). After U(VI) reduction, total reoxidation of U(IV) was achieved when Pseudomonas species and nitrate were added to bioreduced U(IV) sediment microcosms. However, reoxidation did not occur when only nitrate was added to the system (Wilkins, Livens, Vaughan, Beadle, & Lloyd, 2007). Under anaerobic conditions and at circumneutral pH, Thiobacillus denitrificans was reported to oxidize synthetic and biogenic uraninite coupled to nitrate reduction (Beller, 2005). Lack of U(IV) reoxidation is proposed to be associated with the absence of nitrate-reducing bacteria or the redox buffering effect of Fe(II) (Thomas & Macaskie, 1996). Compared to nitrate, nitrite is reported to be a relatively poor oxidant of U(IV). However, when combined with Fe(II), U(IV) was completely reoxidized, with the Fe(II) acting as an electron shuttle between nitrite reduction and U(IV) oxidation (Senko, Mohamed, Dewers, & Krumholz, 2005).

Both sulfate concentration and the kind of SRB are important in influencing U(VI) reduction efficiency. U(VI) reduction rates were greater in the presence of sulfate by both *D. desulfuricans* and a *Desulfovibrio vulgaris/ Clostridium* sp. coculture (Spear, Figueroa, & Honeyman, 2000). When the sulfate concentration was lower than 4000 mg/L, SRB did not have any influence on precipitated uranium. When the sulfate concentration reached 6000 mg/L, the uranium removal rate decreased significantly (Hu et al., 2011).

Mackinawite and other ferrous sulfides and oxides abiotically reduce U(VI) to U(IV) at low phosphate concentrations (Hua, Xu, Jeff, Deng, & 2006; Wersin et al., 1994). The rate and extent of abiotic U(VI) reduction is controlled mainly by the concentrations of surface-sorbed Fe(II) and aqueous U(VI) (Fox et al., 2013). Sorption of U(VI) is a key reaction in Fe(II)-mediated abiotic reduction of U(VI) (Liger, Charlet, & Cappellen, 1999). For instance, in sediments, Fe(II) did not abiotically reduce U(VI) possibly due to the lack of U(VI) sorption to Fe(III) oxides (Finneran et al., 2002). Bioreduction of U(VI) sorbed to natural Fe(III) oxide-containing solids was slower and less extensive compared to synthetic Fe(III) oxide (goethite, hydrous ferric oxide, and hematite) systems (Dullies, Lutze, Gong, & Nuttall, 2010; Finneran et al., 2002; Komlos et al., 2008). The presence of Fe(III) is essential for the sustainability of *Geobacter* activity

although Fe(III) may also compete with U(VI) for electrons (Zhuang, Ma, Lovley, & Mahadevan, 2012). Soluble Fe(III) led to remobilization of uraninite nanocrystals, whereas crystalline hematite did not, under reducing conditions. However, hematite oxidized U(IV) in the presence of SRB, suggesting that SRB activity somehow promotes Fe(III) dissolution (Sani, Peyton, Amonette, & Dohnalkova, 2005; Sani, Peyton, Amonette, & Geesey, 2004). On the other hand, secondary mineral production in Fe(III) reducing conditions may play a role in protecting U(IV). For example, mackinawite has been reported to partially protect biogenic U(IV) from oxidation by scavenging dissolved oxygen (Abdelouas, Lutze, & Nuttall, 1999). Iron sulfides were more effective in protecting bioreduced U(IV) from oxidation by oxygen than nitrate (Moon, Komlos, & Jaffe, 2009). In contrast, Komlos et al. (2008) reported that secondary products from Fe(III) reducing conditions do not significantly protect biogenic U(IV) from oxidation by oxygen or nitrate at low sulfate conditions.

In the presence of *Shewanella putrefaciens*, Mn(III/IV) oxides oxidized biogenic uraninite to soluble U(VI) species. However, accumulation of U(IV) in the cell periplasm protected bioreduced U(IV) from further oxidation (Fredrickson et al., 2002). When the two minerals, UO₂ and MnO₂ were physically separated, the UO₂ was not significantly oxidized by MnO₂. When mixed together, MnO₂ substantially facilitated UO₂ remobilization (Wang, Lee, Kapoor, Tebo, & Giammar, 2013). Plathe, Lee, Tebo, Bargar, and Bernier-Latmani (2013) suggested that reoxidation of U(IV) to U(VI) was mainly due to oxygen rather than to the presence of Mn oxides. Manganese oxides have a stabilizing effect on U(VI) produced by O₂-driven oxidation.

3.3.2 Electron Donors and Carbonates

Various electron donors have been shown to stimulate bacteria capable of reducing U(VI). Among them, acetate is the most common electron donor used in both laboratory and field experiments, followed by ethanol, lactate, and glucose (Barlett, Moon, et al., 2012; Finneran et al., 2002; Francis, Dodge, Gillow, Cline, & Plant, 1988; Luo et al., 2007; Shelobolina, Vrionis, Findlay, & Lovley, 2008). Other electron donors include benzoate, butyrate and butanol, and aromatic hydrocarbons such as toluene, hydrogen, formate, pyruvate, and fumarate (Esteve-Núñez, Núñez, & Lovley, 2004; Finneran et al., 2002; Junier, Suvorova, & Bernier-Latmani, 2010; Liu, Gorby, et al., 2002; Liu, Jeon, et al., 2002; Marshall et al., 2009; Prakash et al., 2009; Shelobolina et al., 2008). Further, hydrogen release compounds

(HRC), emulsified soybean oil (ESO), and EVO were also demonstrated to reduce U(VI) (Barlett, Moon, et al., 2012; Gihring et al., 2011). Liu, Gorby, et al. (2002) and Liu, Jeon, et al. (2002) reported that H₂ resulted in higher U(VI) reduction rates than lactate. Finneran et al. (2002) reported that both acetate and glucose were more effective than lactate, benzoate, and formate. Luo et al. (2007) reported that ethanol resulted in higher uranium reduction than acetate. The extent of U(VI) reduction was found to be higher with methanol than with glucose and much higher with glucose as compared to ethanol (Madden et al., 2009). Vegetable oil and HRC were more effective in stimulating U(VI) removal than acetate (Barlett, Moon, et al., 2012).

The carbonate concentration resulting from elevated CO₂ partial pressure and/or microbial respiration changes the U(IV)/U(VI) equilibrium through the formation of strong U(VI) carbonate complexes (Langmuir, 1978; Wan et al., 2008). U(VI) forms strong aqueous complexes with CO_3^{2-} thereby increasing U(VI) solubility (Ulrich et al., 2009). Elevated concentrations of bicarbonate due to microbial respiration was demonstrated to lower the rate of U(VI) reduction (Ginder-Vogel, Criddle, & Fendorf, 2006; Luo et al., 2007; Spycher et al., 2011; Tokunaga et al., 2008). With sufficient electron donor (ED) supply, U(VI) was reduced during the first 80 days incubation. However, an increase in U(VI) reoxidation was observed thereafter due to the formation of strong U(VI) carbonate complexes that were generated during microbial biodegradation (Wan et al., 2005). The ED supply rate was therefore a controlling factor in the U(VI) reduction process. Excessive ED supply rates led to the formation of stable uranyl carbonate complexes, boosting the reoxidation of bioreduced U(IV). Lower ED supply rates could not sustain reducing conditions (Wan et al., 2008).

3.3.3 Different Types of U(IV) End Products

In reducing conditions, U(VI) was reduced to relatively insoluble and immobile uraninite (Finch & Murakami, 1999). However, production of an amorphous mineral, monomeric U(IV), has been reported. For instance, compared to *Geobacter* sp. and *Shewanella* sp. as generators of uraninite, *Desulfitobacterium* sp. produced mononuclear U(IV) atoms closely surrounded by ligands such as carbonate or phosphate (Fletcher et al., 2010). The presence of several common groundwater solutes (sulfate, silicate, and phosphate) promoted the formation of monomeric U(IV) (Sansa, Fernandez-Regulez, Serra-Garcia, San Paulo, & Perez-Murano, 2013). Binding to phosphates in biomass, aqueous solution, or on mineral surfaces also promoted monomeric U(IV) formation and suppressed uraninite formation. Similar abundances of monomeric U(IV) and uraninite during U(VI) bioreduction has been observed, but no evidence of monomeric U(IV) transformation to uraninite has been found (Bargar et al., 2013). Monomeric U(IV) was efficiently removed from a mixture of uraninite and monomeric U(VI) by bicarbonate complexation without affecting uraninite stability (Alessi et al., 2012). Monomeric U(IV) species are more susceptible to oxidation than biogenic uraninite (Cerrato et al., 2013).

Apart from uraninite and monomeric U(IV), other forms of reduced U(IV) have also been reported. The reduction of a U(VI)-phosphate mineral by Thermobacterium ferrireducens led to the formation of a U(IV) mineral, ningyoite $[CaU(PO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O]$ rather than uraninite (Khijniak et al., 2005). U(IV)-orthophosphates, such as $CaU(PO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O$, $U_2O(PO_4)_2$, and $[CaU_2 (PO_4)(P_3O_{10})]$ were observed in addition to uraninite. These U(IV) minerals were found to be bound to the biomass, most likely through P-containing ligands (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010). Hydrogen uranyl phosphate (HUP) was reduced to U(IV) species to varying extents by Anaeromyxobacter dehalogenans K, Geobacter sulfurreducens PCA, and S. putrefaciens CN-32. The bioreduced U(IV) atoms were similar in structure to the phosphate-complexed U(IV) species found in ningyoite (Rui et al., 2013). Other insoluble U(IV) minerals, including coffinite $[U(SiO_4) \cdot nH_2O]$ and uraniningyoite $[CaU(PO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O]$ are less susceptible than unaninite to remobilization (Khijniak et al., 2005; Lee, Min, & Choi, 2010).

Concluding from the above discussions, there is no doubt that uraninite is more stable than monomeric U(IV) and also a preferred form of U(IV) for bioremediating uranium-contaminated sites. However, it seems that monomeric U(IV) forms in most U(VI) reduction cases and the presence of ligands, such as phosphates, carbonates, and others in the environment, appear to be the main factor influencing its formation. Other factors also can affect this process. For example, bacterial community heterogeneity may lead to the reduction of different species of U(IV) with pH, temperature, redox potential, salinity, and the presence of other ions and their concentration also exerting a role in this process.

3.3.4 pH, Redox Potential, and Other Factors

U(VI) reduction is highly dependent on U(VI) speciation, which changes with different pH values. For example, U(VI) reduction was fastest for

U(VI) hydroxide and U(VI) organic complexes, 24 times faster than the reduction of U(VI)-carbonate complexes, and 735 times faster than the reduction of CaU(VI)-carbonate complexes (Ulrich, Veeramani, Bernier-Latmani, & Giammar, 2011). More U(VI) reduction was achieved in the presence of bicarbonate, which facilitates HUP dissolution, while less bioreduction was observed with phosphate (Rui et al., 2013). At higher pH, redox potential becomes more negative resulting in slower U(VI) reduction. For example, a relatively minor change in pH from 6.3 to 6.8 could significantly slow down the rate of microbial U(VI) reduction, with the lowest rate being found at pH 8 (Ulrich et al., 2011). Brooks et al. (2003) concluded that the redox potential is the main factor responsible for the slow reduction of CaU(VI)-carbonate complexes.

 Cu^{2+} in high concentrations has also been shown to inhibit U(VI) reduction (Lovley & Phillips, 2001). Aluminum oxides are less likely to be involved in the removal of uranium at pH values greater than 4.0 in the presence of iron oxides (Zheng, Tokunaga, & Wan, 2003). In contrast, U(VI) reduction rates increased with increasing dissolved inorganic carbon and Ca²⁺ concentration (Ulrich et al., 2011). Humic substances such as humic acids and fulvic acids have been demonstrated to be beneficial for U(VI) reduction. However, an increase in U(IV) reoxidation was observed on exposure to oxygen through complexation with functional groups such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, and keto in these humic substances (Gu, Yan, Zhou, & Watson, 2005; Wan, Dong, & Tokunaga, 2011). Chelating agents including citrate, EDTA, and NTA have been demonstrated to effectively remobilize bioreduced U(IV) through the formation of stable U(VI) complexes (Luo & Gu, 2011; Stewart, Girardot, Spycher, Sani, & Peyton, 2013).

4. URANIUM BIOMINERALIZATION WITH PHOSPHATE 4.1 Mechanisms and Microbes

U(VI) biomineralization means that U(VI) precipitates with microbe-associated ligands such as phosphate, carbonate, or hydroxide, which provide nucleation foci for precipitation (Lloyd & Macaskie, 2000) (Fig. 2). About 80% of soil microbes are proposed to be able to accomplish cleavage of organophosphates via phosphatase activity. These include *Serratia, Proteus, Bacillus, Arthrobacter* and *Streptomyces* species, and various fungi (Ehrlich & Newman, 2009). When supplied with



Figure 2 Diagram of bacterial U(VI) biomineralization emphasizing the variability of phosphate sources (organic or inorganic phosphate, cellular polyphosphates). Hydrophosphate ions liberated through microbial activity precipitate with U(VI) to form sparingly soluble uranyl phosphate minerals. *CM*, cytoplasmic membrane; *CS*, cytoplasm; *OM*, outer membrane; *PS*, periplasm. *Modified from Yung, M. C., Ma, J., Salemi, M. R., Phinney, B. S., Bowman, G. R., & Jiao, Y. (2014). Shotgun proteomic analysis unveils survival and detoxification strategies by Caulobacter crescentus during exposure to uranium, chromium, and cadmium. Journal of Proteome Research, 13, 1833–1847.*

glycerol-2-phosphate (G2P), a *Citrobacter* sp. and a *Serratia* sp. cleaved the organic phosphate to release inorganic phosphate through phosphatase activity. Inorganic phosphate precipitated with U(VI) as extracellular hydrogen uranyl phosphate (HUO₂PO₄) (Macaskie, Bonthrone, & Rouch, 1994). A Bacillus sp. and a Rahnella sp., isolated from sediments at the U.S. DOE Oak Ridge site, liberated inorganic phosphate from glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P), and precipitated 73% and 95% of supplied U(VI), respectively. The precipitates were shown to be calcium autunite [Ca(UO₂)₂(PO₄)₂] (Beazley, Martinez, Sobecky, Webb, & Taillefert, 2007). Further research showed that the Rahnella strain biomineralized U(VI) to chernikovite $[H_2(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2]$ under anaerobic conditions and in the presence of a high concentration of nitrate (Beazley, Martinez, Sobecky, Webb, & Taillefert, 2009). Three bacterial isolates (Aeromonas hydrophila, Pantoea agglomerans, and Pseudomonas rhodesiae) from circumneutral pH groundwater demonstrated uranium biomineralization in both aerobic and nitrate-reducing conditions when supplied with G3P. U(VI) was identified to be incorporated into the structure of insoluble hydroxyapatite [Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH] (Shelobolina, Konishi, Xu, & Roden, 2009).

Another bacterial strain (Pseudomonas aeruginosa J007) isolated from a mine waste site in India demonstrated an excellent uranium biomineralization capacity removing 99% of soluble U(VI) from a mine effluent with 3800 mg/L U(VI). The crystalline uranyl phosphate species were confirmed to be UO₂(PO₃)₂, (UO₂)₃(PO₄)₂H₂O, and U₂O(PO₄)₂ (Choudhary & Sar, 2011). Indigenous bacteria isolated from U-contaminated soils at the Department of Energy Oak Ridge Field Research Center (ORFRC), US sites, were tested for biomineralization of uranium in G3P supplied, flow-through columns under aerobic conditions at pH 5.5 and 7.0. XAS analysis confirmed U biomineralization in both pH 5.5 and pH 7.0 columns through the formation of uranyl phosphate minerals (Beazley, Martinez, Webb, Sobecky, & Taillefert, 2011). The enzymes responsible for phosphatase activity were identified to be PhoY and phytase in Caulobacter crescentus (Yung & Jiao, 2014; Yung et al., 2014), PhoK (alkaline phosphatase) in Sphingomonas sp. BSAR-1 (Nilgiriwala, Alahari, & Rao, 2008) and PhoN (acid phosphatase) in Serratia sp. (Macaskie, Empson, Cheetham, Grey, & Skarnulis, 1992).

Some genetically altered bacterial strains are also capable of biomineralizing uranium from solution. These include *Escherichia coli* with added acid phosphatase genes (Basnakova, Stephens, Thaller, Rossolini, & Macaskie, 1998), *Pseudomonas veronii* and *P. rhodesiae* with added alkaline phosphatase genes (Powers et al., 2002), and engineered strains of *Deinococcus radiodurans* (Appukuttan, Rao, & Apte, 2006). The removal of uranyl ions from solution by a *Citrobacter* sp. was improved substantially by adding ammonium acetate (NH₄Ac) to the solution. The end product NH₄UO₂PO₄ had a lower solubility than HUO₂PO₄ and NaUO₂PO₄ (Ping & Macaskie, 1995).

Several reports have focused on comparative studies of U(VI) bioreduction and U(VI) biomineralization. When stimulated by G2P, biomineralization of uranyl phosphate minerals outcompeted the bioreduction of U(VI) to U(IV) under anaerobic conditions at pH 5.5 and 7.0 (Salome et al., 2013). Stimulating a sediment microbial community with G2P under anaerobic conditions led to the formation of crystalline U(IV) phosphate minerals (e.g., ningyoite), which were more recalcitrant to oxidative remobilization than the products of microbial U(VI) reduction (Newsome, Morris, Trivedi, Bewsher, & Lloyd, 2015). An isolated strain (*Serratia* sp.) from the aforementioned sediment was able to precipitate soluble U(VI) as uranium phosphate minerals under anaerobic and fermentative conditions. In contrast, under phosphate-limited anaerobic

conditions and with G2P as the electron donor, the *Serratia* sp. could reduce soluble U(VI) to nanocrystalline U(IV) uraninite (Newsome, Morris, & Lloyd, 2015).

As well as bacteria, fungi are also capable of U(VI) biomineralization (Fomina, Charnock, Bowen, et al., 2007; Fomina, Charnock, Hillier, et al., 2007; Fomina et al., 2008). Examination of the surfaces of biomineralized uraniferous hydrocarbons showed biogenic filaments resembling fungi or actinomycetes (Milodowski et al., 1990). A yeast strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae also showed some features of uranium biomineralization via formation of uranyl phosphate minerals during growth in a medium amended with high concentration of phosphate (Ohnuki et al., 2005). The first detailed reports for uranium biomineralization by fungi showed that saprotrophic, ericoid, and ectomycorrhizal fungi could solubilize uranium oxides (UO3 and U₃O₈), and accumulated uranium within the mycelium to over 80 mg/g dry wt, most of which was biomineralized as well-crystallized uranyl phosphate minerals of the metaautunite group. Involvement of extra- and intracellular phosphatase activities possessed by these fungi was proposed as a uranium biomineralization mechanism (Fomina, Charnock, Bowen, et al., 2007; Fomina, Charnock, Hillier, et al., 2007). Subsequent studies showed that depleted uranium was also solubilized and biomineralized by fungi with uranium biomass concentrations up to 300-400 U/g dry wt. Uranium minerals in hyphal cord-like aggregates and associated with individual hyphae were confirmed to be metaautunite group minerals, uramphite, and/or chemikovite (Fomina et al., 2008). Liang et al. (2015) showed that the soil fungi Aspergillus niger and Paecilomyces javanicus extensively precipitated uranium and phosphorus-containing minerals on hyphal surfaces when provided with G2P as an organic phosphorus source. The biominerals were identified to be various uranyl phosphate species, including potassium uranyl phosphate hydrate, metaankoleite, uranyl phosphate hydrate, metaankoleite, uramphite, and chernikovite, therefore confirming the fungal ability to carry out phosphatase-mediated uranium biomineralization. Further, a selection of yeast species have been demonstrated to mediate U(VI) biomineralization through the formation of uranium phosphate biominerals when utilizing an organic source of phosphorus (G2P or phytic acid). The formation of uranyl phosphate species such as metaankoleite, chernikovite, bassetite, and uramphite on cell surfaces confirmed that yeast species can also have phosphatase-mediated uranium biomineralization capability (Liang et al., 2016). Some microorganisms associated with U(VI) biomineralization are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 Phosphatase-mediated U(VI) biomineralizing microorganisms

Organism	source	End products	References
Citrobacter sp. and Serratia sp.	Glycerol-2-phosphate	HUO ₂ PO ₄	Macaskie, Bonthrone, et al. (1994)
Bacillus and Rahnella	Glycerol-3-phosphate	$Ca(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2$	Beazley et al. (2007)
Rahnella strain	Glycerol-3-phosphate	$H_2(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2$	Beazley et al. (2009)
Aeromonas hydrophila, Pantoea agglomerans, and Pseudomonas rhodesiae	Glycerol-3-phosphate	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ OH	Shelobolina et al. (2009)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Cellular phosphate groups	UO ₂ (PO ₃) ₂ , (UO ₂) ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ H ₂ O, and U ₂ O(PO ₄) ₂	Choudhary and Sar (2011)
Pseudomonas sp.	Tributyl phosphate	HUO ₂ PO ₄	Thomas and Macaskie (1996)
Escherichia coli	Phytic acid	$UO_2HPO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$	Paterson-Beedle et al. (2010)
Genetically altered E. coli	Glycerol-2-phosphate	HUO ₂ PO ₄	Basnakova et al. (1998)
Engineered Pseudomonas veronii and P. rhodesiae	Glycerol-3-phosphate	$HUO_2PO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$	Powers et al. (2002)
Recombinant Deinococcus radiodurans	β-Glycerophosphate	_	Appukuttan et al. (2006)
Citrobacter	_	NH ₄ UO ₂ PO ₄	Ping and Macaskie (1995)
Beauveria caledonica, Hymenoscyphus ericae, Penicillium simplicissimum, Rhizopogon rubescens, Serpula himantioides	Intracellular polyphosphates	Uramphite, chernikovite	Fomina, Charnock, Bowen, et al. (2007) and Fomina, Charnock, Hillier, et al. (2007)
Hymenoscyphus ericae	Intracellular polyphosphates		Fomina et al. (2008)
Aspergillus niger and Paecilomyces javanicus	Glycerol-2-phosphate	Potassium uranyl phosphate hydrate, metaankoleite, uranyl phosphate hydrate, metaankoleite, uramphite, and chernikovite	Liang et al. (2015)
Cryptococcus filicatus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Pichia acaciae, Candida argentea, Candida sake, and Cryptococcus podzolicus	Glycerol-2-phosphate or phytic acid sodium salt hydrate	metaankoleite, chernikovite, bassetite, and uramphite	Liang et al. (2016)

Organic phosphate

4.2 Obstacles and Future Potential

Some obvious challenges still remain in implementing uranium biomineralization at the field scale as a feasible technique. A major limitation in the use of organic phosphates, such as glycerol phosphates, is that they are not considered to be economically viable (Lloyd & Macaskie, 2000). Compared to organic phosphates, the addition of inorganic phosphates seems to be cost-effective and simple. However, inorganic phosphates may precipitate rapidly causing clogging and are not easily dispersed in the environment (Wellman, Icenhower, & Owen, 2006). Other cost-effective organic phosphate sources such as tributyl phosphate (Thomas & Macaskie, 1996) and phytic acid (from plant waste) (Paterson-Beedle, Readman, Hriljac, & Macaskie, 2010) have been tested for overcoming the high cost posed by use of glycerol phosphates.

Despite this, uranium biomineralization appears to have several advantages over uranium bioreduction. Uranium biomineralization has been demonstrated in aerobic and anaerobic conditions at both acidic and circumneutral pH values (Beazley et al., 2007, 2009; Martinez et al., 2007), low pH aerobic conditions (Macaskie, Hewitt, Shearer, & Kent, 1994), and aerobically maintained uranium-contaminated sediments (Beazley et al., 2011; Thomas & Macaskie, 1996). U(VI) forms sparingly soluble and stable phosphate minerals over a broad range of pH conditions (pH 4–8) (Wellman, Pierce, Oostrom, & Fruchter, 2007). Uranium phosphate minerals are also highly stable over a wide range of redox conditions compared to U(IV) minerals (Salome et al., 2013). In conclusion, uranium biomineralization could be considered to be a useful technique compared to uranium bioreduction based on its stability and viability at varied environmental conditions, and therefore, field-scale studies should be investigated.

5. URANIUM BIOMINERALIZATION WITH CARBONATE

In natural environments, rocks and soils contain very low levels of uranium. In uranium-polluted sites, calcite has been found to contain high amounts of uranium. For example, near-surface sediments of a process pond at the Hanford site, United States, contained higher levels of uranium coprecipitated with calcite (Catalano et al., 2006). However, in undersaturated environments, calcite could affect uranium mobility. Dissolved Ca²⁺ and carbonate from calcite can complex with U(VI) to

form $Ca_2UO_2(CO_3)_3$ and $UO_2(CO_3)_3^{4-}$ species at circumneutral to alkaline pH conditions, further mobilizing uranium in the environment (Bernhard et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003).

Microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) has been investigated by several researchers (Kumari et al., 2016). Bacteria capable of producing calcium carbonate include SRB, cyanobacteria, *Bacillus*, *Myxobacteria*, *Halobacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* spp. In particular, *Bacillus* species have shown great potential in this area (Baskar, Baskar, Mauclaire, & McKenzie, 2006; Jagadeesha, Prabhakara, & Pushpa, 2013). MICP has been successfully tested with several contaminant metals, such as strontium, which shows significant sequestration results through bacterial ureolysis (Fujita et al., 2004; Lauchnor et al., 2013; Mitchell & Ferris, 2005). Other than strontium, other contaminants investigated with MICP include arsenic, copper, and lead (Achal, Pan, Fu, & Zhang, 2012; Achal, Pan, Zhang, & Fu, 2012; Kumari et al., 2016). During coprecipitation with calcite, metal ions with an ionic radius close to Ca²⁺, such as Sr²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺, may be incorporated into the calcite crystal by substituting for Ca²⁺ (Pan, 2009).

However, studies of MICP in connection with uranium biomineralization are limited. Partition coefficients were estimated to be lower than 0.2 for U(VI) and 20–200 for U(IV) (Kitano, Tokuyama, & Kanamori, 1968). Compared to the 95% capture of strontium, only 30% of UO₂ was sequestered by Ca carbonate precipitation. These results indicate that these two elements were probably incorporated into differing sites. Ca lattice sites were associated with strontium while crystal defect sites were associated with UO₂ (Zins & Whitaker, 2001). Among different Ca carbonate forms, aragonite incorporates uranyl preferentially compared to calcite (Reeder, Nugent, Lamble, & Morris, 2000). Reeder et al. (2004) reported that multiple uranyl species may coprecipitate with calcite and that the overall U(VI) speciation may vary with conditions and crystal site availability. Besides coprecipitation, U(VI) can also be strongly adsorbed by calcite surfaces (Doudou, Vaughan, Livens, & Burton, 2012).

In conclusion, uranium biomineralization with carbonate depends on different uranyl species and forms of calcium carbonate. In saturated or oversaturated conditions, uranium coprecipitates with calcite and is also adsorbed by calcite surfaces. However, in undersaturated conditions, Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} may complex with U(VI) thereby increasing U(VI) mobility.

6. URANIUM BIOMINERALIZATION WITH SILICATE

Sodium boltwoodite $[Na(UO_2)(SiO_3OH)1.5H_2O]$ was detected in contaminated sediment samples from the Hanford site (Catalano, Heald, Zachara, & Brown, 2004). When sodium boltwoodite encounters phosphates and silicates, U(VI) precipitates as sparingly soluble minerals such as metaschoepite (UO_3·2H_2O) and various phosphates and silicates (Grenthe, Sandino, Puigdomenech, & Rand, 1995). In natural environments, diatom frustules and silts were reported to contain higher levels of uranium due to entrapment of small clay particles by their silicaceous tests or by binding with organic matter (Edgington, Robbins, Colman, Orlandini, & Gustin, 1996; Gavshin, Bobrov, & Khlystov, 2001). The existence of coprecipitated-minerals of uranium and silicate in natural environments and higher uranium content of diatomaceous silica may indicate future interest in the possibility and mechanisms of uranium biomineralization by silicates.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Much research has been carried out on uranium bioremediation, mostly focusing on uranium bioreduction and uranium biomineralization. Uranium bioreduction in particular has shown sustained U(VI) removal from groundwater in field trials near uranium processing sites. However, the stability and longevity of the reduced U(VI) still remains questionable when various environmental factors including pH, oxidants, redox potential, hydrolysis, dissolution, and complexation may change in the groundwater environment and reoxidize U(IV). In comparison, uranium biomineralization could take place in a variety of complex environments such as an acidic high nitrate concentration, variable pH values, aerobic or anaerobic conditions etc., therefore overcoming some of the defects that uranium bioreduction poses. Similarly, uranium biomineralization has some obvious shortcomings. For example, organic phosphates, such as glycerol phosphate, are costly, and the application of this technique has been limited to the laboratory scale. Other organic phosphate sources, such as phytic acid and tributyl phosphate, may have potential and should be investigated in larger field-scale trials. In polluted field sites, suitable U(VI) treatment techniques should be chosen considering the various environmental and economic factors to determine the best strategy for U(VI) immobilization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1503281, U1403181, and 41673127) and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (171495). G. M. Gadd gratefully acknowledges an award under the 1000 Talents Plan with the Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China. The instructional help provided by Dr. Osama Abdalla Mohamad, Arish University, Egypt is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Abdelouas, A., Lutze, W., & Nuttall, H. E. (1999). Oxidative dissolution of uraninite precipitated on Navajo sandstone. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 36, 353–375.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., Fu, Q., & Zhang, D. (2012). Biomineralization based remediation of As(III) contaminated soil by Sporosarcina ginsengisoli. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 201–202, 178–184.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., Zhang, D., & Fu, Q. (2012). Bioremediation of Pb-contaminated soil based on microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 22, 244–247.
- Ahmed, B., Cao, B., Mishra, B., Boyanov, M. I., Kemner, K. M., Fredrickson, J. K., & Beyenal, H. (2012). Immobilization of U(VI) from oxic groundwater by Hanford 300 area sediments and effects of Columbia river water. *Water Research*, 46, 3989–3998.
- Akob, D. M., Lee, S. H., Sheth, M., Küsel, K., Watson, D. B., Palumbo, A. V., ... Chin, K. J. (2012). Gene expression correlates with process rates quantified for sulfate-and Fe(III)reducing bacteria in U(VI)-contaminated sediments. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *3*, 280.
- Alessi, D. S., Uster, B., Veeramani, H., Suvorova, E. I., Lezamapacheco, J. S., Stubbs, J. E., ... Bernier-Latmani, R. (2012). Quantitative separation of monomeric U(IV) from UO₂ in products of U(VI) reduction. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46, 6150-6157.
- Anderson, R. T., Vrionis, H. A., Ortizbernad, I., Resch, C. T., Long, P. E., Dayvault, R., ... Peacock, A. (2003). Stimulating the in situ activity of *Geobacter* species to remove uranium from the groundwater of a uranium-contaminated aquifer. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, 69, 5884–5891.
- Appleton, J. D., & Appleton, J. D. (2007). Radon: Sources, health risks, and hazard mapping. Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK: British Geological Survey. http://nora. nerc.ac.uk/1466/1/Appleton_JD_2007_Radon_sources_health_risks_and_hazard_ mapping_AMBIO_36_1_85-89.pdf.
- Appukuttan, D., Rao, A. S., & Apte, S. K. (2006). Engineering of *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1 for bioprecipitation of uranium from dilute nuclear waste. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72, 7873–7878.
- Bargar, J. R., Williams, K. H., Campbell, K. M., Long, P. E., Stubbs, J. E., Suvorova Buffat, E.,... Webb, S. M. (2013). Uranium redox transition pathways in acetateamended sediments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, 4506-4511.
- Barlett, M., Moon, H. S., Peacock, A. A., Hedrick, D. B., Williams, K. H., Long, P. E., ... Jaffe, P. R. (2012). Uranium reduction and microbial community

development in response to stimulation with different electron donors. *Biodegradation*, 23, 535-546.

- Barlett, M., Zhuang, K., Mahadevan, R., & Lovley, D. (2012). Integrative analysis of Geobacter spp. and sulfate-reducing bacteria during uranium bioremediation. *Biogeosciences* Discussions, 9, 1033–1040.
- Barnett, M. O., Jardine, P. M., & Brooks, S. C. (2002). U(VI) adsorption to heterogeneous subsurface media: Application of a surface complexation model. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36, 937–942.
- Baskar, S., Baskar, R., Mauclaire, L., & McKenzie, J. A. (2006). Microbially induced calcite precipitation in culture experiments: Possible origin for stalactites in Sahastradhara caves, Dehradun, India. *Current Science*, 90, 58–64.
- Basnakova, G., Stephens, E. R., Thaller, M. C., Rossolini, G. M., & Macaskie, L. E. (1998). The use of *Escherichia coli* bearing a phoN gene for the removal of uranium and nickel from aqueous flows. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 50, 266–272.
- Beazley, M. J., Martinez, R. J., Sobecky, P. A., Webb, S. M., & Taillefert, M. (2007). Uranium biomineralization as a result of bacterial phosphatase activity: Insights from bacterial isolates from a contaminated subsurface. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41, 5701–5707.
- Beazley, M. J., Martinez, R. J., Sobecky, P. A., Webb, S. M., & Taillefert, M. (2009). Nonreductive biomineralization of uranium(VI) phosphate via microbial phosphatase activity in anaerobic conditions. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 26, 431–441.
- Beazley, M. J., Martinez, R. J., Webb, S. M., Sobecky, P. A., & Taillefert, M. (2011). The effect of pH and natural microbial phosphatase activity on the speciation of uranium in subsurface soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75, 5648–5663.
- Beller, H. R. (2005). Anaerobic, nitrate-dependent oxidation of U(IV) oxide minerals by the chemolithoautotrophic bacterium *Thiobacillus denitrificans*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 71, 2170–2174.
- Bernhard, G., Geipel, G., Reich, T., Brendler, V., Amayri, S., & Nitsche, H. (2000). Uranyl(VI) carbonate complex formation: Validation of the Ca₂UO₂(CO₃)₃(aq.) species. *Radiochimica Acta*, 89, 511–518.
- Bernier-Latmani, R., Veeramani, H., Vecchia, E. D., Junier, P., Lezamapacheco, J. S., Suvorova, E. I., ... Bargar, J. R. (2010). Non-uraninite products of microbial U(VI) reduction. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 9456–9462.
- Boonchayaanant, B., Nayak, D., Du, X., & Criddle, C. S. (2009). Uranium reduction and resistance to reoxidation under iron-reducing and sulfate-reducing conditions. *Water Research*, 43, 4652–4664.
- Brooks, S. C., Fredrickson, J. K., Carroll, S. L., Kennedy, D. W., Zachara, J. M., Plymale, A. E., ... Fendorf, S. (2003). Inhibition of bacterial U(VI) reduction by calcium. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 37, 1850–1858.
- Bruce, W., Benjamin, B., Colleen, M. H., Rosenzweig, F. R., & Fendorf, S. (2000). Inhibition of bacterially promoted uranium reduction: Ferric (hydr)oxides as competitive electron acceptors. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 34, 2190–2195.
- Cardenas, E., Wu, W. M., Leigh, M. B., Carley, J., Carroll, S., Gentry, T., ... Gindervogel, M. (2008). Microbial communities in contaminated sediments associated with bioremediation of uranium to submicromolar levels. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74, 3718–3729.
- Catalano, J. G., Heald, S. M., Zachara, J. M., & Brown, G. E., Jr. (2004). Spectroscopic and diffraction study of uranium speciation in contaminated vadose zone sediments from the Hanford site, Washington state. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38, 2822–2828.
- Catalano, J. G., Mckinley, J. P., Zachara, J. M., Heald, S. M., Smith, S. C., & Brown, G. E., Jr. (2006). Changes in uranium speciation through a depth sequence of contaminated Hanford sediments. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 2517–2524.

- Cerrato, J. M., Ashner, M. N., Alessi, D. S., Lezama-Pacheco, J. S., Bernier-Latmani, R., Bargar, J. R., & Giammar, D. E. (2013). Relative reactivity of biogenic and chemogenic uraninite and biogenic non-crystalline U(IV). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 9756–9763.
- Chabalala, S., & Chirwa, E. M. N. (2010). Uranium(VI) reduction and removal by high performing purified anaerobic cultures from mine soil. *Chemosphere*, 78, 52–55.
- Chandler, D. P., Kukhtin, A., Mokhiber, R., Knickerbocker, C., Ogles, D., Rudy, G., ... Peacock, A. (2010). Monitoring microbial community structure and dynamics during in situ U(VI) bioremediation with a field-portable microarray analysis system. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 5516–5522.
- Chang, Y. J., Long, P. E., Geyer, R., Peacock, A. D., Resch, C. T., Sublette, K., ... White, D. C. (2005). Microbial incorporation of 13C-labeled acetate at the field scale: Detection of microbes responsible for reduction of U(VI). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 9039–9048.
- Choudhary, S., & Sar, P. (2011). Uranium biomineralization by a metal resistant *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa strain isolated from contaminated mine waste. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186, 336–343.
- Choy, C. C., Korfiatis, G. P., & Meng, X. (2006). Removal of depleted uranium from contaminated soils. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 136, 53-60.
- Coleman, M. L., Hedrick, D. B., Lovley, D. R., White, D. C., & Pye, K. (1993). Reduction of Fe(III) in sediments by sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Nature*, 361, 436–438.
- Craig, D. K. (2001). Chemical and radiological toxicity of uranium and its compounds. WSRC-TR-2001–00331. Aiken: Westinghouse Savannah River Company http://www.osti.gov/ bridge.
- Doudou, S., Vaughan, D. J., Livens, F. R., & Burton, N. A. (2012). Atomistic simulations of calcium uranyl(VI) carbonate adsorption on calcite and stepped-calcite surfaces. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46, 7587–7594.
- Dullies, F., Lutze, W., Gong, W., & Nuttall, H. E. (2010). Biological reduction of uranium – From the laboratory to the field. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 408, 6260–6271.
- Duraković, A. (1999). Medical effects of internal contamination with uranium. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 40, 49–66.
- Edgington, D. N., Robbins, J. A., Colman, S. M., Orlandini, K. A., & Gustin, M. P. (1996). Uranium-series disequilibrium, sedimentation, diatom frustules, and paleoclimate change in Lake Baikal. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 142, 29–42.
- Ehrlich, H. L., & Newman, D. K. (2009). Geomicrobiology (5th ed.). USA: CRC Press/Taylor and Francis Group.
- Esteve-Núñez, A., Núñez, C., & Lovley, D. R. (2004). Preferential reduction of Fe(III) over fumarate by Geobacter sulfurreducens. Journal of Bacteriology, 186, 2897–2899.
- Finch, R., & Murakami, T. (1999). Systematics and paragenesis of uranium minerals. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 38, 91–179.
- Finneran, K. T., Anderson, R. T., Nevin, K. P., & Lovley, D. R. (2002). Potential for bioremediation of uranium-contaminated aquifers with microbial U(VI) reduction. *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, 11, 339–357.
- Fletcher, K. E., Boyanov, M. I., Thomas, S. H., Wu, Q., Kemner, K. M., & Löffler, F. E. (2010). U(VI) reduction to mononuclear U(IV) by *Desulfitobacterium* species. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 4705–4709.
- Fomina, M., Charnock, J., Bowen, A. D., & Gadd, G. M. (2007). X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) of toxic metal mineral transformations by fungi. *Environmental Microbiology*, 9, 308–321.
- Fomina, M., Charnock, J. M., Hillier, S., Alvarez, R., & Gadd, G. M. (2007). Fungal transformations of uranium oxides. *Environmental Microbiology*, 9, 1696–1710.

- Fomina, M., Charnock, J. M., Hillier, S., Alvarez, R., Livens, F., & Gadd, G. M. (2008). Role of fungi in the biogeochemical fate of depleted uranium. *Current Biology: CB*, 18, 375–377.
- Fox, P. M., Davis, J. A., Kukkadapu, R., Singer, D. M., Bargar, J., & Williams, K. H. (2013). Abiotic U(VI) reduction by sorbed Fe(II) on natural sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 117, 266–282.
- Francis, A. J., Dodge, C. J., Gillow, J. B., Cline, J. E., & Plant, T. O. R. (1988). Microbial transformation of uranium in wastes. *Radiochimica Acta*, 52–53, 311–316.
- Francis, A. J., Dodge, C. J., Lu, F., Halada, G. P., & Clayton, C. R. (1994). XPS and XANES studies of uranium reduction by *Clostridium* sp. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 28, 636–639.
- Fredrickson, J. K., Zachara, J. M., Kennedy, D. W., Liu, C., Duff, M. C., Hunter, D. B., & Dohnalkova, A. (2002). Influence of Mn oxides on the reduction of U(VI) by the metal-reducing bacterium *Shewanella putrefaciens*. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66*, 3247–3262.
- Fujita, Y., Redden, G. D., Ingram, J. C., Cortez, M. M., Ferris, F. G., & Smith, R. W. (2004). Strontium incorporation into calcite generated by bacterial ureolysis. *Geochimica* et Cosmochimica Acta, 68, 3261–3270.
- Gadd, G. M., & Fomina, M. (2011). Uranium and fungi. Geomicrobiology Journal, 28, 471-482.
- Ganesh, R., Robinson, K. G., Reed, G. D., & Sayler, G. S. (1997). Reduction of hexavalent uranium from organic complexes by sulfate- and iron-reducing bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63, 4385–4391.
- Gavshin, V. M., Bobrov, V. A., & Khlystov, O. M. (2001). The periodic pattern of diatom sedimentation and geochemistry of diatom oozes in Lake Baikal. *Geologiya i Geofizika*, 42, 329–338.
- Gihring, T. M., Zhang, G., Brandt, C. C., Brooks, S. C., Campbell, J. H., Carroll, S., ... Kostka, J. E. (2011). A limited microbial consortium is responsible for extended bioreduction of uranium in a contaminated aquifer. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77, 5955–5965.
- Ginder-Vogel, M., Criddle, C. S., & Fendorf, S. (2006). Thermodynamic constraints on the oxidation of biogenic UO₂ by Fe(III) (Hydr)oxides. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 3544–3550.
- Grenthe, I., Sandino, M. C. A., Puigdomenech, I., & Rand, M. H. (1995). Appendix D-corrections to the uranium NEA-TDB reviews. *Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, 2, 347–374.
- Gu, B., Yan, H., Zhou, P., & Watson, D. B. (2005). Natural humics impact uranium bioreduction and oxidation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 5268–5275.
- Haas, J. R., Bailey, E. H., & Purvis, O. W. (1998). Bioaccumulation of metals by lichens: Uptake of aqueous uranium by *Pelligera membranacea* as a function of time and pH. *American Mineralogist*, 83, 1494–1502.
- Han, R., Zou, W., Yi, W., & Lu, Z. (2007). Removal of uranium(VI) from aqueous solutions by manganese oxide coated zeolite: Discussion of adsorption isotherms and pH effects. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 93, 127–143.
- Harper, R. M., & Kantar, C. (2008). Uranium. Encyclopedia of Ecology, 39, 3662-3665.
- Hefnawy, M. A., Elsaid, M., Hussein, M., & Amin, A. M. (2002). Fungal leaching of uranium from its geological ores in Alloga area, West central Sinai, Egypt. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2, 346–350.
- Hill, M. K. (2004). Understanding environmental pollution: A primer (2nd ed.). UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hua, B., Xu, H. F., Jeff, T. A., & Deng, B. (2006). Kinetics of uranium(VI) reduction by hydrogen sulfide in anoxic aqueous systems. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 4666–4671.

- Hu, K., Wang, Q., Tao, G., Wang, A., & Ding, D. (2011). Experimental study on restoration of polluted groundwater from in situ leaching uranium mining with sulfate reducing bacteria and ZVI-SRB. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 2, 150–155.
- Igwe, J. C., Nnorom, I. C., & Gbaruko, B. C. (2005). Kinetics of radionuclides and heavy metals behaviour in soils: Implications for plant growth. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 4, 1541–1547.
- Istok, J. D., Senko, J. M., Krumholz, L. R., Watson, D., Bogle, M. A., Peacock, A., ... White, D. C. (2004). In situ bioreduction of technetium and uranium in a nitrate-contaminated aquifer. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38, 468–475.
- Jagadeesha, K. B. G., Prabhakara, R., & Pushpa, H. (2013). Effect of bacterial calcite precipitation on compressive strength of mortar cubes. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 2, 486–491.
- Judy, D. W., & Lee, R. K. (2006). Uranium reduction. Annual Review of Microbiology, 60, 149-166.
- Junier, P., Suvorova, E. I., & Bernier-Latmani, R. (2010). Effect of competing electron acceptors on the reduction of U(VI) by *Desulfotomaculum reducens*. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 27, 435–443.
- Kashparov, V. A., Oughton, D. H., Zvarich, S. I., Protsak, V. P., & Levchuk, S. E. (1999). Kinetics of fuel particle weathering and 90Sr mobility in the Chernobyl 30-km exclusion zone. *Health Physics*, 76, 251–259.
- Katsoyiannis, I. A. (2007). Carbonate effects and pH-dependence of uranium sorption onto bacteriogenic iron oxides: Kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 139, 31–37.
- Khijniak, T. V., Slobodkin, A. I., Coker, V., Renshaw, J. C., Livens, F. R., Bonchosmolovskaya, E. A., ... Lloyd, J. R. (2005). Reduction of uranium(VI) phosphate during growth of the thermophilic bacterium *Thermoterrabacterium ferrireducens*. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, 71, 6423–6426.
- Kitano, Y., Tokuyama, A., & Kanamori, N. (1968). Measurement of the distribution coefficient of zinc and copper between carbonate precipitate and solution. *Journal of Earth Science*, 16, 1–102.
- Komlos, J., Peacock, A., Kukkadapu, R. K., & Jaffe, P. R. (2008). Long-term dynamics of uranium reduction/reoxidation under low sulfate conditions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 72, 3603–3615.
- Kumari, D., Qian, X.-Y., Pan, X., Achal, V., Li, Q., & Gadd, G. M. (2016). Microbiallyinduced carbonate precipitation for immobilization of toxic metals. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, 94, 79–108.
- Langmuir, D. (1978). Uranium solution-mineral equilibria at low temperatures with applications to sedimentary ore deposits. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 42*, 547–569.
- Langmuir, D. (1997). Aqueous environmental geochemistry. New Jersey, USA: Prentice Hall.
- Lauchnor, E. G., Schultz, L. N., Bugni, S., Mitchell, A. C., Cunningham, A. B., & Gerlach, R. (2013). Bacterially induced calcium carbonate precipitation and strontium coprecipitation in a porous media flow system. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 1557–1564.
- Law, G. T., Geissler, A., Boothman, C., Burke, I. T., Livens, F. R., Lloyd, J. R., ... Morris, K. (2010). Role of nitrate in conditioning aquifer sediments for technetium bioreduction. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 150–155.
- Lee, S. Y., Min, H. B., & Choi, J. W. (2010). Biogenic formation and growth of uraninite (UO₂). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 8409–8414.
- Liang, X., Csetenyi, L., & Gadd, G. M. (2016). Uranium bioprecipitation mediated by yeasts utilizing organic phosphorus substrates. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 33, 1–11.

- Liang, X., Hillier, S., Pendlowski, H., Gray, N., Ceci, A., & Gadd, G. M. (2015). Uranium phosphate biomineralization by fungi. *Environmental Microbiology*, 17, 2064–2075.
- Liang, Y., Nostrand, J. D. V., N'Guessan, L. A., Peacock, A. D., Deng, Y., Long, P. E., ... Li, G. (2012). Microbial functional gene diversity with a shift of subsurface redox conditions during in situ uranium reduction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 2966–2972.
- Liger, E., Charlet, L., & Cappellen, P. V. (1999). Surface catalysis of uranium(VI) reduction by iron(II). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63*, 2939–2955.
- Liu, C., Gorby, Y. A., Zachara, J. M., Fredrickson, J. K., & Brown, C. F. (2002). Reduction kinetics of Fe(III), Co(III), U(VI), Cr(VI), and Tc(VII) in cultures of dissimilatory metalreducing bacteria. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 80, 637–649.
- Liu, C., Jeon, B. H., Zachara, J. M., Fredrickson, J. K., Kennedy, D. W., & Dohnalkova, A. (2002). Modeling the inhibition of the bacterial reduction of U(VI) by β-MnO₂(s). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36, 1452–1459.
- Liu, C., Jeon, B. H., Zachara, J. M., Wang, Z., Dohnalkova, A., & Fredrickson, J. K. (2006). Kinetics of microbial reduction of solid phase U(VI). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 6290–6296.
- Lloyd, J. R., Leang, C., Hodges-Myerson, A. L., Coppi, M. V., & Cuifo, S. (2003). Biochemical and genetic characterization of PpcA, a periplasmic c-type cytochrome in *Geobacter sulfurreducens. The Biochemical Journal*, 369, 153–161.
- Lloyd, J. R., & Lovley, D. R. (2001). Microbial detoxification of metals and radionuclides. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 12, 248–253.
- Lloyd, J. R., & Macaskie, L. E. (2000). Bioremediation of radionuclide-containing waste waters. In D. R. Lovley (Ed.), *Environmental microbe-metal interactions* (pp. 277–327). Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- Lovley, D. R., Holmes, D. E., & Nevin, K. P. (2004). Dissimilatory Fe(III) and Mn(IV) reduction. Advances in Microbial Physiology, 49, 219–286.
- Lovley, D. R., & Phillips, E. J. P. (1992). Reduction of uranium by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 58, 850–856.
- Lovley, D. R., & Phillips, E. J. P. (2001). Bioremediation of uranium contamination with enzymatic uranium reduction. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 26, 1451–1465.
- Lovley, D. R., Phillips, E. J. P., Gorby, Y. A., & Landa, E. R. (1991). Microbial reduction of uranium. *Nature*, 350, 413–416.
- Lovley, D. R., Roden, E. E., Phillips, E. J. P., & Woodward, J. C. (1993). Enzymatic iron and uranium reduction by sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Marine Geology*, 113, 41–53.
- Lovley, D. R., Widman, P. K., Woodward, J. C., & Phillips, E. J. P. (1993). Reduction of uranium by cytochrome c₃ of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59, 3572–3576.
- Luo, W., & Gu, B. (2011). Dissolution of uranium-bearing minerals and mobilization of uranium by organic ligands in a biologically reduced sediment. *Environmental Science* and Technology, 45, 2994–2999.
- Luo, W., Wu, W. M., Yan, T., Criddle, C. S., Jardine, P. M., Zhou, J., & Gu, B. (2007). Influence of bicarbonate, sulfate, and electron donors on biological reduction of uranium and microbial community composition. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 77, 713–721.
- Macaskie, L. E., Bonthrone, K. M., & Rouch, D. A. (1994). Phosphatase-mediated heavy metal accumulation by a *Citrobacter* sp. and related enterobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 121, 141–146.
- Macaskie, L. E., Empson, R. M., Cheetham, A. K., Grey, C. P., & Skarnulis, A. J. (1992). Uranium bioaccumulation by a *Citrobacter* sp. as a result of enzymically-mediated growth of polycrystalline HUO₂PO₄. *Science*, 257, 782–784.

- Macaskie, L. E., Hewitt, C. J., Shearer, J. A., & Kent, C. A. (1994). Biomass production for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions at low pH using growth-decoupled cells of a *Citrobacter* sp. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 33, 288–289.
- Madden, A. S., Palumbo, A. V., Ravel, B., Vishnivetskaya, T. A., Phelps, T. J., Schadt, C. W., & Brandt, C. C. (2009). Donor-dependent extent of uranium reduction for bioremediation of contaminated sediment microcosms. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 38, 53–60.
- Marshall, M. J., Dohnalkova, A. C., Kennedy, D. W., Plymale, A. E., Thomas, S. H., Löffler, F. E., ... Beliaev, A. S. (2009). Electron donor-dependent radionuclide reduction and nanoparticle formation by *Anaeromyxobacter dehalogenans* strain 2CP-C. *Environmental Microbiology*, 11, 534–543.
- Marsili, E., Beyenal, H., Di Palma, L., Merli, C., & Dohnalkova, A. (2005). Uranium removal by sulfate reducing biofilms in the presence of carbonates. *Water Science and Technology*, 52, 49–55.
- Martinez, R. J., Beazley, M. J., Martial, T., Arakaki, A. K., Jeffrey, S., & Sobecky, P. A. (2007). Aerobic uranium (VI) bioprecipitation by metal-resistant bacteria isolated from radionuclide- and metal-contaminated subsurface soils. *Environmental Microbiology*, 9, 3122–3133.
- Meinrath, A., Schneider, P., & Meinrath, G. (2003). Uranium ores and depleted uranium in the environment, with a reference to uranium in the biosphere from the Erzgebirge/ Sachsen, Germany. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 64, 175–193.
- Milodowski, A. E., West, J. M., Pearce, J. M., Hyslop, E. K., Basham, I. R., & Hooker, P. J. (1990). Uranium-mineralized micro-organisms associated with uraniferous hydrocarbons in southwest Scotland. *Nature*, 347, 465–467.
- Mitchell, A. C., & Ferris, F. G. (2005). The coprecipitation of Sr into calcite precipitates induced by bacterial ureolysis in artificial groundwater: Temperature and kinetic dependence. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69*, 4199–4210.
- Moon, H. S., Komlos, J., & Jaffé, P. R. (2007). Uranium reoxidation in previously bioreduced sediment by dissolved oxygen and nitrate. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41, 4587–4592.
- Moon, H. S., Komlos, J., & Jaffe, P. R. (2009). Biogenic U(IV) oxidation by dissolved oxygen and nitrate in sediment after prolonged U(VI)/Fe(III)/SO₄^{2–} reduction. *Journal* of Contaminant Hydrology, 105, 18–27.
- Morris, D. E., Allen, P. G., Berg, J. M., Chisolm-Brause, C. J., Conradson, S. D., Hess, N. J., ... Tait, C. D. (1996). Speciation of uranium in Fernald soils by molecular spectroscopic methods: Characterization of untreated soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 30, 2322–2331.
- Mumtaz, S., Streten-Joyce, C., Parry, D. L., Mcguinness, K. A., Lu, P., & Gibb, K. S. (2013). Fungi outcompete bacteria under increased uranium concentration in culture media. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 120, 39–44.
- N'Guessan, A. L., Moon, H. S., Peacock, A. D., Tan, H., Sinha, M., Long, P. E., & Jaffé, P. R. (2010). Postbiostimulation microbial community structure changes that control the reoxidation of uranium. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 74, 184–195.
- Neiss, J., Stewart, B. D., Nico, P. S., & Fendorf, S. (2007). Speciation-dependent microbial reduction of uranium within iron-coated sands. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41, 7343–7348.
- Nevin, K. P., & Lovley, D. R. (2000). Potential for nonenzymatic reduction of Fe(III) via electron shuttling in subsurface sediments. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 34, 2472–2478.
- Newsome, L., Morris, K., & Lloyd, J. R. (2014). The biogeochemistry and bioremediation of uranium and other priority radionuclides. *Chemical Geology*, *363*, 164–184.

- Newsome, L., Morris, K., & Lloyd, J. R. (2015). Uranium biominerals precipitated by an environmental isolate of *Serratia* under anaerobic conditions. *PLoS One*, *10*, 1–14.
- Newsome, L., Morris, K., Trivedi, D., Bewsher, A., & Lloyd, J. R. (2015). Biostimulation by glycerol phosphate to precipitate recalcitrant uranium(IV) phosphate. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49, 11070–11078.
- Nilgiriwala, K., Alahari, A., & Rao, A. S. (2008). Cloning and overexpression of alkaline phosphatase PhoK from *Sphingomonas* sp strain BSAR-1 for bioprecipitation of uranium from alkaline solutions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74, 5516–5523.
- Ohnuki, T., Ozaki, T., Yoshida, T., Sakamoto, F., Kozai, N., Wakai, E., ... Iefuji, H. (2005). Mechanisms of uranium mineralization by the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69*, 5307–5316.
- Pan, X. (2009). Micrologically induced carbonate precipitation as a promising way to in situ immobilize heavy metals in groundwater and sediment. *Research Journal of Chemistry and Environment*, 13, 3–4.
- Paterson-Beedle, M., Readman, J. E., Hriljac, J. A., & Macaskie, L. E. (2010). Biorecovery of uranium from aqueous solutions at the expense of phytic acid. *Hydrometallurgy*, 104, 524–528.
- Ping, Y., & Macaskie, L. E. (1995). Enhancement of uranium bioaccumulation by a *Citrobacter* sp. via enzymically-mediated growth of polycrystalline NH₄UO₂PO₄. *Journal* of *Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 63, 101–108.
- Plathe, K. L., Lee, S. W., Tebo, B. M., Bargar, J. R., & Bernier-Latmani, R. (2013). Impact of microbial Mn oxidation on the remobilization of bioreduced U(IV). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 3606–3613.
- Powers, L. G., Mills, H. J., Palumbo, A. V., Zhang, C., Delaney, K., & Sobecky, P. A. (2002). Introduction of a plasmid-encoded phoA gene for constitutive overproduction of alkaline phosphatase in three subsurface *Pseudomonas* isolates. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 41, 115–123.
- Prakash, O., Gihring, T. M., Dalton, D. D., Chin, K. J., Green, S. J., Akob, D. M., ... Kostka, J. E. (2009). Geobacter daltonii sp. nov., an Fe(III)- and uranium(VI)-reducing bacterium isolated from a shallow subsurface exposed to mixed heavy metal and hydrocarbon contamination. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 60, 546–553.
- Rai, D., Yui, M., & Moore, D. A. (2003). Solubility and solubility product at 22°C of UO₂(c) precipitated from aqueous U(IV) solutions. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*, 32, 1–17.
- Reeder, R. J., Elzinga, E. J., Tait, C. D., Rector, K. D., Donohoe, R. J., & Morris, D. E. (2004). Site-specific incorporation of uranyl carbonate species at the calcite surface. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68, 4799–4808.
- Reeder, R. J., Nugent, M., Lamble, G. M., T, C. D., & Morris, D. E. (2000). Uranyl incorporation into calcite and aragonite: XAFS and luminescence studies. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 34, 638–644.
- Reguera, G., McCarthy, K. D., Mehta, T., Nicoll, J. S., Tuominen, M. T., & Lovley, D. R. (2005). Extracellular electron transfer via microbial nanowires. *Nature*, 435, 1098–1101.
- Renshaw, J. C., Butchins, L. J. C., Livens, F. R., May, I., Charnock, J. M., & Lloyd, J. R. (2005). Bioreduction of uranium: Environmental implications of a pentavalent intermediate. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 5657–5660.
- Rui, X., Kwon, M. J., O'Loughlin, E. J., Dunham-Cheatham, S., Fein, J. B., Bunker, B., ... Boyanov, M. I. (2013). Bioreduction of hydrogen uranyl phosphate: Mechanisms and U(IV) products. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 5668–5678.

- Salome, K. R., Green, S. J., Beazley, M. J., Webb, S. M., Kostka, J. E., & Taillefert, M. (2013). The role of anaerobic respiration in the immobilization of uranium through biomineralization of phosphate minerals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 106, 344–363.
- Sani, R. K., Peyton, B. M., Amonette, J. E., & Dohnalkova, A. (2005). Reoxidation of uranium in the presence of iron(III)-(hydr)oxides under sulfate-reducing conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 2059–2066.
- Sani, R. K., Peyton, B. M., Amonette, J. E., & Geesey, G. G. (2004). Reduction of uranium(VI) under sulfate-reducing conditions in the presence of Fe(III)-(hydr)oxides 1. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 68, 2639–2648.
- Sansa, M., Fernandez-Regulez, M., Serra-Garcia, M., San Paulo, A., & Perez-Murano, F. (2013). Biogeochemical controls on the product of microbial U(VI) reduction. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 12351–12358.
- Senko, J. M., Mohamed, Y., Dewers, T. A., & Krumholz, L. R. (2005). Role for Fe(III) minerals in nitrate-dependent microbial U(IV) oxidation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 2529–2536.
- Shelobolina, E. S., Konishi, H., Xu, H., & Roden, E. E. (2009). U(VI) sequestration in hydroxyapatite produced by microbial glycerol 3-phosphate metabolism. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75, 5773–5778.
- Shelobolina, E. S., Sullivan, S. A., O'Neill, K. R., Nevin, K. P., & Lovley, D. R. (2004). Isolation, characterization, and U(VI)-reducing potential of a facultatively anaerobic, acid-resistant bacterium from low-pH, nitrate- and U(VI)-contaminated subsurface sediment and description of Salmonella subterranea sp. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 70, 2959–2965.
- Shelobolina, E. S., Vrionis, H. A., Findlay, R. H., & Lovley, D. R. (2008). Geobacter uraniireducens sp. nov., isolated from subsurface sediment undergoing uranium bioremediation. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 58, 1075–1078.
- Snoeyenboswest, O. L., Nevin, K. P., Anderson, R. T., & Lovley, D. R. (2000). Enrichment of *Geobacter* species in response to stimulation of Fe(III) reduction in sandy aquifer sediments. *Microbial Ecology*, 39, 153–167.
- Spain, A. M., & Krumholz, L. R. (2011). Nitrate-reducing bacteria at the nitrate and radionuclide contaminated Oak Ridge integrated field research challenge site: A review. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 28, 418–429.
- Spear, J. R., Figueroa, L. A., & Honeyman, B. D. (2000). Modeling reduction of uranium U(VI) under variable sulfate concentrations by sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66, 3711–3721.
- Spycher, N. F., Issarangkun, M., Stewart, B. D., Sengor, S. S., Belding, E., Ginn, T. R., ... Sani, R. K. (2011). Biogenic uraninite precipitation and its reoxidation by iron(III) (hydr)oxides: a reaction modeling approach. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75, 4426–4440.
- Stewart, B. D., Girardot, C., Spycher, N., Sani, R. K., & Peyton, B. M. (2013). Influence of chelating agents on biogenic uraninite reoxidation by Fe(III) (Hydr)oxides. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 364–371.
- Stewart, B. D., Mayes, M. A., & Fendorf, S. (2010). Impact of uranyl-calcium-carbonato complexes on uranium(VI) adsorption to synthetic and natural sediments. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 928–934.
- Suzuki, Y., & Suko, T. (2006). Geomicrobiological factors that control uranium mobility in the environment: Update on recent advances in the bioremediation of uraniumcontaminated sites. *Journal of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences*, 101, 299–307.
- Tapia-Rodriguez, A., Luna-Velasco, A., Field, J. A., & Sierra-Alvarez, R. (2010). Anaerobic bioremediation of hexavalent uranium in groundwater by reductive precipitation with methanogenic granular sludge. *Water Research*, 44, 2153–2162.

- Thomas, R. A. P., & Macaskie, L. E. (1996). Biodegradation of tributyl phosphate by naturally occurring microbial isolates and coupling to the removal of uranium from aqueous solution. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *30*, 2371–2375.
- Thorpe, C. L., Law, G. T. W., Boothman, C., Lloyd, J. R., Burke, I. T., & Morris, K. (2012). The synergistic effects of high nitrate concentrations on sediment bioreduction. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 29, 484–493.
- Tokunaga, T. K., Wan, J., Kim, Y., Daly, R., Brodie, E., Hazen, E., ... Firestone, M. K. (2008). Influences of organic carbon supply rate on uranium bioreduction in initially oxidizing, contaminated sediment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 8901–8907.
- Ulrich, K. U., Ilton, E. S., Veeramani, H., Sharp, J. O., Bernier-Latmani, R., Schofield, E. J., ... Giammar, D. E. (2009). Comparative dissolution kinetics of biogenic and chemogenic uraninite under oxidizing conditions in the presence of carbonate. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 73, 6065–6083.
- Ulrich, K.-U., Veeramani, H., Bernier-Latmani, R., & Giammar, D. E. (2011). Speciationdependent kinetics of uranium(VI) bioreduction. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 28, 396–409.
- Vishnivetskaya, T. A., Brandt, C. C., Madden, A. S., Drake, M. M., Kostka, J. E., Akob, D. M., ... Palumbo, A. V. (2010). Microbial community changes in response to ethanol or methanol amendments for U(VI) reduction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76, 5728–5735.
- Waite, T. D., Davis, J. A., Payne, T. E., Waychunas, G. A., & Xu, N. (1994). Uranium(VI) adsorption to ferrihydrite-application of a surface of coplexation model. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 58, 5465–5478.
- Wan, J., Dong, W., & Tokunaga, T. K. (2011). Method to attenuate U(VI) mobility in acidic waste plumes using humic acids. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 45, 2331–2337.
- Wang, Z., Lee, S. W., Kapoor, P., Tebo, B. M., & Giammar, D. E. (2013). Uraninite oxidation and dissolution induced by manganese oxide: A redox reaction between two insoluble minerals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 100, 24–40.
- Wan, j., Tokunaga, T. K., Brodie, E., Zheng, Z., Herman, D., Hazen, T. C., ... Sutton, S. R. (2005). Reoxidation of bioreduced uranium under reducing conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 6162–6169.
- Wan, J., Tokunaga, T. K., Kim, Y., Brodie, E., Daly, R., Hazen, T. C., ... Firestone, M. K. (2008). Effects of organic carbon supply rates on uranium mobility in a previously bioreduced contaminated sediment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 7573–7579.
- Wellman, D. M., Icenhower, J. P., & Owen, A. T. (2006). Comparative analysis of soluble phosphate amendments for the remediation of heavy metal contaminants: Effect on sediment hydraulic conductivity. *Environmental Chemistry*, *3*, 219–224.
- Wellman, D. M., Pierce, E. M., Oostrom, M., & Fruchter, J. S. (2007). Experimental plan: 300 Area treatability test: In situ treatment of the vadose zone and smear zone uranium contamination by polyphosphate infiltration. Office of Scientific and Technical Information Technical Reports. Richland, Washington 99352: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.
- Wersin, P., Hochella, M. F., Persson, P., Redden, G., Leckie, J. O., & Harris, D. W. (1994). Interaction between aqueous uranium (VI) and sulfide minerals: Spectroscopic evidence for sorption and reduction. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 58, 2829–2843.
- Wilkins, M. J., Livens, F. R., Vaughan, D. J., Beadle, I., & Lloyd, J. R. (2007). The influence of microbial redox cycling on radionuclide mobility in the subsurface at a low-level radioactive waste storage site. *Geobiology*, 5, 293–301.
- Williams, K. H., Long, P. E., Davis, J. A., Wilkins, M. J., N'Guessan, A. L., Steefel, C. I., ... Kerkhof, L. J. (2011). Acetate availability and its influence on sustainable bioremediation of uranium-contaminated groundwater. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 28, 519–539.

- Yung, M. C., & Jiao, Y. (2014). Biomineralization of uranium by PhoY phosphatase activity aids cell survival in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 80, 4795–4804.
- Yung, M. C., Ma, J., Salemi, M. R., Phinney, B. S., Bowman, G. R., & Jiao, Y. (2014). Shotgun proteomic analysis unveils survival and detoxification strategies by *Caulobacter crescentus* during exposure to uranium, chromium, and cadmium. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 13, 1833–1847.
- Zheng, Z., Tokunaga, T. K., & Wan, J. (2003). Influence of calcium carbonate on U(VI) sorption to soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 37, 5603–5608.
- Zhuang, K., Ma, E., Lovley, D. R., & Mahadevan, R. (2012). The design of long-term effective uranium bioremediation strategy using a community metabolic model. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 109, 2475–2483.
- Zins, J. E., & Whitaker, L. A. (2001). Microbially mediated calcium carbonate precipitation: Implications for interpreting calcite precipitation and for solid phase capture of inorganic compounds. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 18, 93–115.