RESEARCH ARTICLE



Atmospheric deposition and air-soil exchange of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in a background site in Central China

Lingxi Zhan¹ • Tian Lin² • Hairong Cheng^{1,3} • Zuwu Wang¹ • Zhineng Cheng⁴ • Deng Zhou⁵ • Zhengxin Qin⁵ • Gan Zhang⁴

Received: 21 February 2019 / Accepted: 26 August 2019 / Published online: 5 September 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Jinsha (JSH) is one of the regional background sites in Central China. In this study, eight polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) were measured in atmospheric deposition samples (dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved), air (gaseous and particle) samples, and soil samples that were collected from March 2012 to March 2013. Of all eight PBDEs, BDE-209 was the most abundant congener in both deposition samples and air/soil samples. Average dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition fluxes of Σ_8 PBDEs were 270 ± 310 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, 130 ± 210 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, and 250 ± 330 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively, while those of BDE-209 were 210 ± 290 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, 80 ± 120 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, and 160 ± 290 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively. Dry deposition velocities of individual PBDE ranged from 0.11 ± 0.15 cm s⁻¹ (BDE-183) to 0.24 ± 0.38 cm s⁻¹ (BDE-209), and total washout ratios ranged from 5.0 × 10³ (BDE-28) to 4.2 × 10⁴ (BDE-209). The calculated net air–soil gas exchange flux of Σ_8 PBDEs was – 16 ± 13 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, suggesting the deposition status of PBDEs. The gas exchange flux at the air–soil interface was significantly lower than the deposition flux, which only accounted for 2.5% of the total deposition flux, implying that atmospheric deposition was an important input pathway for PBDEs to soils. Overall, the pollution level of the soil was relatively low, and the soil serves as a sink for PBDEs from adjacent regions.

Keywords PBDEs · Wet and dry deposition · Seasonal variation · Air soil gas exchange · Background site

Responsible editor: Constantini Samara

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06312-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Hairong Cheng chenghr@whu.edu.cn

- ¹ School of Resource and Environmental Sciences, International Cooperation Base for Sustainable Utilization of Resources and Energy in Hubei Province, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
- ² State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China
- ³ Hubei Key Laboratory of Industrial Fume & Dust Pollution Control, Jianghan University, Wuhan 430056, China
- ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China
- ⁵ Meteorological Bureau of Xianning, Xianning 437100, China

Introduction

Flame retardants are chemical additives used in commercial products (e.g., paints, furniture, and electrical equipment) to isolate the source of fire, which mainly contain bromine, chlorine, or phosphorus (Papachlimitzou et al. 2012). Of these, polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are one of brominated flame retardants and were largely produced and used in the 1990s and early 2000s, until the discovery that PBDEs have the potential for bioaccumulation, adverse effects on animals, and long-range atmospheric transport (Stockholm Convention 2014; Moon et al. 2007; Okonski et al. 2014). In an effort to prohibit the production and use of PBDEs, penta- and octa-BDE were listed in the Stockholm Convention as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in 2009, while deca-BDE is listed in the Annex A of POPs in Stockholm Convention in 2017 but still used on a regular basis (POPs Action in China 2017; Stockholm Convention 2014; Li et al. 2015, 2017; Möller et al. 2012).

The concentration levels of semi-volatile organic compounds (SOCs) in soil and air are greatly affected by air-soil

gas exchange and atmospheric deposition, which may partly influence the regional or global transport/fate of SOCs (Backe et al. 2004; Cetin and Odabasi 2007; Tasdemir et al. 2012). Atmospheric deposition is an important pathway of SOCs to soil surface in remote areas (Birgül and Tasdemir 2011; Fang et al. 2012; Li et al. 2010; Tian et al. 2011). Several authors have evaluated atmospheric depositions of PBDEs at rural areas, urban/suburban areas, factory area, lake regions, and coastal areas (Argiriadis et al. 2014; Moon et al. 2007; Ry et al. 2002; Schure et al. 2004; Venier and Hites 2008), whereas studies on air-soil gas exchange of PBDEs are still scarce. Cetin and Odabasi (2007) have investigated air-soil gas exchange fluxes of Σ_7 PBDEs in Izmir, Turkey, while Degrendele et al. (2016) determined air-soil gas exchange fluxes of SOCs [polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), and PBDEs] at two background sites in Central Europe.

So far, research on whether the Chinese background soil is a source or a sink is limited. However, based on the continuous use and emission of PBDEs in China during the last decades, it is highly likely that the soil in background areas acts as a sink for PBDEs from atmospheric deposition. When certain amounts of PBDEs reached in the soil, such sites may become PBDE sources and re-emit these substances. This study focused on Jinsha (JSH), one of the regional background Chinese Meteorological Administration Atmosphere Watch Network (CAWNET) sites in Central China, with the following objectives: (1) to investigate the atmospheric deposition fluxes of PBDEs and its seasonal variations, (2) to assess the dry deposition velocities and washout ratios of PBDEs, and (3) the most important, to identify the role of soil as a source or sink at the air-soil interface, based on atmospheric deposition and air-soil gas exchange fluxes.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition samples were collected at JSH (29° 38' N, 114° 12' E, 750 m a.s.l.), a regional background site in Central China (Fig. 1). Detail information about the sampling site was described in our previous study (Zhan et al. 2017). Briefly, it is on an isolated mountain peak and the surrounding area is sparsely populated. During the sampling year, the average temperature was 16.3 °C, the average relative humidity was 78.6%, and both rain and snow were observed. Moreover, the temperature and precipitation were both the highest in summer (Fig. 2).

Sampling was carried out biweekly from March 2012 to March 2013, using a dry–wet deposition sampler (DWDS). The DWDS has a rain sensor, which controls the active cover to close the dry deposition section when it rains or snows. After a rain or snow event, the active cover moves to the wet deposition section. Both of the receiving areas for wet and dry depositions are 0.071 m^2 . Prior to the sampling, the inner surface of the glass jar was washed with distilled water and wiped with precleaned cotton, which was previously extracted with methanol, acetone, and dichloromethane (DCM). After sampling, the inner surface of the dry deposition glass jar was wiped with precleaned cotton. The cotton and the particles were combined to measure the dry particle deposition fluxes (Li et al. 2010). Wet samples were filtered through glass fiber filters (47 mm diameter, 0.7 μ m pore size) to separate particle and dissolved phase. Then, the dissolved phase was filtered with a XAD-2 resin column. These samples were stored at – 18 °C until further analysis.

Simultaneously, air and soil samples were collected. Details about air sampling and pretreatment process were described in our previous study (Yang et al. 2018), which is also detailed in Text S2.1. Soil samples were collected approximately once a month around the DWDS (<10 m) during the sampling year. About 1 kg of soil samples was taken from the top 5 cm of the soil after removal of the large stones and pieces of vegetation. A total of 15 soil samples was collected. After sieving through a 1.0-mm mesh to remove large particles and organic debris, the soil samples were sealed with aluminum foil and stored at 4 °C. About 30 g of soil samples was used to determine the contents of organic carbon, and 30 g was used for PBDE analysis.

Sample analysis

Prior to extraction, 10 ng of PCB-30, PCB-198, PCB-209, and 2,4,5,6-tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCmX) (AccuStandard, New Haven, CT) was added to each sample as a surrogate recovery standard. The wet dissolved deposition samples were eluted from XAD-2 resin column by DCM. Dry particle deposition, wet particle deposition, and soil samples were Soxhletextracted separately for 24 h with DCM. The extracts and the wet dissolved deposition samples were separately concentrated to a volume of 1 mL, using a rotary evaporator. An alumina/silica gel column [from the bottom to the top filled with neutral alumina (3 cm, 3% deactivated), neutral silica gel (3 g, 3% deactivated), 50% (on a weight basis) sulfuric acid silica gel (2 cm), and anhydrous sodium sulfate (1 cm)] was used for cleanup and fractionations: the fraction containing PBDEs was eluted with a 15 mL mixture of hexane and DCM (6:4, V/V) and concentrated to 0.2 mL via evaporation with a rotary evaporator and a nitrogen flow. Finally, 10 ng of ¹³C-PCB-141 (AccuStandard, New Haven, CT) as an internal standard was added to the samples.

The eight PBDEs (BDE-28, BDE-47, BDE-99, BDE-100, BDE-153, BDE-154, BDE-183, and BDE-209) were analyzed using an Agilent 7890/5975C gas chromatography–



mass selective detector (GC-MSD) with a HP-5MS column (15 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. \times 0.1 µm film thickness) using negative chemical ionization (NCI) under SIM mode. Helium was used as carrier gas, with a column flow rate at 1.2 mL min⁻¹. The temperature of the ion source was maintained at 230 °C, while

that of the transfer line was 280 °C. The GC oven temperature program was set at 110 °C for 5 min, followed by 20 °C min⁻¹ to 200 °C (held for 4.5 min) and, finally, 10 °C min⁻¹ to 310 °C (held for 12 min). For each analysis, a 1- μ L injection of the sample was made in the splitless mode.



Fig. 2 Seasonal variations of atmospheric concentrations and atmospheric deposition fluxes for PBDEs

Deringer

Quality assurance and quality control

Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) were conducted by analyzing laboratory and field blanks, instrument and method detection limits, and standard spiked recoveries. Filters and precleaned cotton were routinely placed in the field as field blanks. Lab blanks were precleaned cotton and filters. In all, five lab blanks and six field blanks were subject to the same analytical procedures as samples. The instrument detection limit (IDL) was defined as a signal of 3 times the noise. The method detection limits (MDLs) were quantified as the mean values of the field blanks plus three times the standard deviation of the field blank values. When compounds could not be detected in the field blanks, the MDLs were calculated as three times the IDLs. In the blank samples, not all compounds could be detected. The IDLs ranged from 0.10 to 0.36 pg, while the MDLs were 0.1–0.6 pg $m^{-2} day^{-1}$ (the other seven PBDEs) and 2.9 pg m⁻² day⁻¹ (BDE-209) for deposition samples and ranged from 0.4 to 1 pg g^{-1} for soil samples, respectively. The recoveries of PCB-209 were $88.7 \pm 13.2\%$ for soil samples, $75.9 \pm 10.8\%$ for dry and wet particle deposition samples, and $72.5 \pm 8.5\%$ for wet dissolved deposition samples. The recoveries for air samples were reported in our previous study (Yang et al. 2018) and detailed in Text S2.2. All the concentrations and fluxes present in this study were not corrected by the recoveries.

Calculation of air-soil exchange fluxes

Air–soil exchanges are key input/output processes for SOCs in soil and air. Fugacity is the potential pressure of chemical between different interfaces, and the ratios of fugacities determine the exchange direction between soil and air (Harner et al. 2001). To assess air–soil exchange fluxes of PBDEs during the sampling period, air and soil fugacity as well as air–soil exchange fluxes were calculated.

The net flux (N, ng m⁻² h⁻¹) from the soil to the air was calculated using the following equation (Backe et al. 2004; Tasdemir et al. 2012):

$$N = D_{\rm SA}(f_{\rm s} - f_{\rm a})$$

where D_{SA} is a transfer coefficient (mol Pa⁻¹ m⁻² h⁻¹), which describes the molar flux between the soil and air compartments, and f_s and f_a are the fugacity values of POPs in soil and air, calculated according to the following equations (Harner et al. 2001):

$$f_{\rm s} = C_{\rm s} RT / 0.411 \varphi_{\rm om} K_{\rm oa}$$
$$f_{\rm a} = C_{\rm a} RT$$

where C_s is the soil concentration (mol m⁻³), C_a is the atmospheric gaseous concentration (mol m⁻³), R is the gas constant (8.314 pa m⁻³ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), T is the absolute temperature (K), and φ_{om} is the fraction of organic matter in the soil on a dry soil basis (1.7 times the organic carbon fraction). It was assumed that the fugacity capacity of the soil was entirely attributed to the organic matter fraction and that the soil bulk density was 1.5×10^6 g m⁻³ (Bidleman and Leone 2004). Here, K_{oa} is the octanol–air partition coefficient, and the K_{oa} values of selected PBDEs were obtained and temperature-calculated according to previous studies (Harner and Shoeib 2001; Yadav et al. 2017) and are presented in Table S1. However, not all the SOCs existing in the soils have a tendency to exchange between air and soil; thus, the measured soil fugacities based on soil concentrations may lead to some overestimation (Cabrerizo et al. 2009).

The D_{SA} was defined by the three-resistance model (Koblizková et al. 2009)

$$D_{\rm SA} = 1/(1/D_{\rm SAB} + 1/(D_{\rm SAA} + D_{\rm SAL}))$$

where D_{SAB} , D_{SAA} , and D_{SAL} are contributing to the D_{SA} transfer coefficient and represent the air boundary layer, the soil–air phase diffusion, and the soil–water phase diffusion, respectively

$$D_{\text{SAB}} = K_{\text{SA}} Z_{\text{A}}$$
$$D_{\text{SAA}} = K_{\text{SAP}} Z_{\text{A}}$$
$$D_{\text{SAL}} = K_{\text{SWP}} Z_{1}$$
$$Z_{1} = 1/(R T K_{\text{AW}})$$

where K_{SA} is the soil–air boundary layer mass transfer coefficient (MTC), K_{SAP} is the soil–air phase diffusion MTC, and K_{SWP} is the soil–water phase diffusion MTC, with values of 5 m h⁻¹, 0.02 m h⁻¹, and 0.00001 m h⁻¹, respectively. The fugacity capacity (*Z* value, mol m⁻³ Pa⁻¹) represents the appetency of compounds to the environmental media. The *Z* value for air (*Z*_A) was equal to 1 / *RT*, K_{AW} ($K_{\text{AW}} = H / RT$) is the dimensionless Henry's law constant (*H*), and Henry's law constant values of selected PBDEs were adopted from former reports (Cetin and Odabasi 2005; Tittlemier et al. 2002).

Results and discussion

PBDEs in deposition samples

Deposition flux

The measured total deposition fluxes (dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition) of Σ_8 PBDEs varied from 120 to 2600 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, with an average value of 650 ± 590 pg m⁻² day⁻¹ (Table 1); these values were lower than those of remote mountain regions of Europe (3490–6420 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Arellano et al. 2014) and a rural site in southern Sweden (25,700–65,200 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Moon et al. 2007).

Compounds	Dry particle deposition			Wet particle deposition			Wet dissolved deposition			Total deposition		
	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average
BDE-28	3.9	40	14	1.2	79	10	1.4	70	11	6.4	100	35
BDE-47	0.3	23	8.1	0.1	44	5.6	4.3	69	23	2.9	79	37
BDE-100	0.2	11	2.5	0.1	50	4.3	1.6	37	6.2	0.7	57	13
BDE-99	0.2	23	7.9	0.2	37	5.1	6.3	79	22	7.9	92	35
BDE-154	2.6	13	6.4	0.2	46	5.3	0.4	38	5.1	0.6	55	17
BDE-153	1.1	18	6.0	0.3	41	4.8	0.5	57	7.5	4.1	64	18
BDE-183	4.3	38	15	0.7	160	21	2.5	53	11	7.5	170	47
BDE-209	37	1200	210	2.9	450	80	11	1200	160	70	2400	450
Σ_8 PBDEs	65	1300	270	8.5	890	130	31	1300	250	120	2600	650

Table 1Atmospheric deposition fluxes for PBDEs $(pg m^{-2} day^{-1})$

The average dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition fluxes of Σ_8 PBDEs were 270 ± 310 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, $130 \pm 210 \text{ pg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, and $250 \pm 330 \text{ pg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, accounting for 41.7%, 20.2%, and 38.1% of the total deposition flux, respectively (Fig. S1). In this study, wet deposition (wet particle and wet dissolved) flux was higher than dry particle deposition flux, which was in line with the study on a remote site of the Mazzorbetto Island (Gambaro et al. 2009). More specifically, high-brominated PBDEs with a higher octanolwater partition coefficient (K_{ow}) (including BDE-153, BDE-154, BDE-183, and BDE-209) were concentrated in particle (dry + wet) deposition samples, whereas some lowbrominated PBDEs (such as BDE-47 and BDE-99) were largely distributed in dissolved deposition samples (Fig. S1), which was in agreement with previous studies from Sweden (Schure et al. 2004). However, BDE-28 showed higher levels in particle (wet + dry) deposition samples than wet dissolved samples (Fig. S1), which might be attributed to the revolatilization of dissolved BDE-28 in a wet deposition sampler during the sampling period (about 2 weeks).

BDE-209 was the most abundant congener in dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition, accounting for 69.7%, 54.6%, and 54.2% of Σ_8 PBDEs, respectively. This is in line with the ongoing use of deca-BDE in some areas (Li et al. 2017). The deca-PBDE formulation made up 83.3% of the 2001 PBDE global market demand (La Guardia et al. 2006), and this proportion is relatively higher than those in dry and wet depositions at JSH. It may be due to that some BDE-209 underwent debromination or that lighter PBDEs were more prone to volatilization compared with BDE-209. The total deposition flux of BDE-209 at JSH was 70-2400 (450 ± 520) pg m⁻² day⁻¹ (Table 1), which was lower than those of a rural site in Korea (25,400–60,700 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Moon et al. 2007), a rural site in southern Sweden (4300 pg $m^{-2} day^{-1}$) (Schure et al. 2004), and remote mountain regions of Europe (2370–5400 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Arellano et al. 2014). The average dry particle deposition flux of BDE-209 was 210 \pm 290 (6.9–1200) pg m⁻² day⁻¹, which was lower than those of a suburban site in Turkey (49,400 \pm 89,000 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Cetin and Odabasi 2007) and an urban site in southern Sweden (10,900 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Schure et al. 2004). Similarly, the average wet deposition flux (wet particle + wet dissolved) of BDE-209 was 240 \pm 120 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, which was also lower than those of semirural sites in the Pearl River Delta (39,000–48,000 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Zhang et al. 2009) and urban sites in Guangzhou (110,000 \pm 137,000 pg m⁻² day⁻¹) (Guo et al. 2014).

Seasonal variations and impacting factors

Seasonal variations of atmospheric concentrations and deposition fluxes of BDE-209 and Σ_7 PBDEs at JSH are exhibited in Fig. 2. Relatively high dry particle deposition fluxes of BDE-209 were observed in summer and winter. Due to some missing samples that were not well transported or failed in pretreatment process, the average level of dry particle deposition fluxes of BDE-209 may be enhanced in summer. Similar congener profiles were observed between dry particle deposition and atmospheric particle concentration (Fig. 3). However, no obvious correlation was found between atmospheric particle concentrations and dry particle deposition fluxes for BDE-209 (R = -0.152, p > 0.05, Pearson correlation), suggesting that atmospheric particle concentration was only partly influenced dry particle deposition fluxes of BDE-209. In summer, the proportions of BDE-209 in dry particle deposition (84.9%) was similar to that in atmospheric particle concentration (82.2%), while in winter (71.2%), it was about twice higher than that in atmospheric particle concentration (33.6%)(Table S2), possibly because that BDE-209 existed more in the particulate phase in winter (Yang et al. 2018), implying the influence of temperature. In addition, the increased bromine number is accompanied by the decreased saturated vapor pressure and volatility (Tittlemier et al. 2002), so that BDE-209 was more inclined to deposit as a particle compared with other





PBDEs (proportions of BDE-209 in dry particle deposition were both higher than those in atmospheric particle concentration in summer and winter). For the other PBDEs, dry particle deposition fluxes were higher in winter, and significant correlations were found between dry particle deposition fluxes and atmospheric particle concentrations of Σ_7 PBDEs (R =0.535, p < 0.05), BDE-154 (R = 0.524, p < 0.05), and BDE-183 (R = 0.691, p < 0.01), suggesting a high influence by atmospheric particle concentrations.

Wet particle deposition fluxes of both BDE-209 and Σ_7 PBDEs were high in winter (Fig. 2). Similar congener profiles were also observed for wet particle deposition and atmospheric particle concentration (Fig. 3). Whereas no obvious correlation was found for wet particle deposition fluxes (both BDE-209 and Σ_7 PBDEs) and atmospheric particle concentration, implying partly the effect of atmospheric particle concentration on wet particle deposition fluxes. In winter, the proportion of BDE-209 in wet particle deposition was higher than that in atmospheric particle concentration (Table S2), which can also be explained with that BDE-209 existed more in the particulate phase in winter and tended to be deposited. In addition, a negative correlation between wet particle deposition fluxes of BDE-209 and temperature was found (R = -0.493, p < 0.05), suggesting the influence of temperature on wet particle deposition fluxes, whereas it reversed in summer that the proportion of BDE-209 in wet particle deposition was lower than that in atmospheric particle concentration, which might be attributed to the precipitation type and intensity and enhanced proportions of the other seven PBDEs, especially low-brominated PBDEs. Certain amounts of particulate lowbrominated PBDEs (such as BDE-28 and BDE-47) remained in the atmosphere and did not reach critical deposition levels; once it rained, they would be deposited (precipitation was highest in summer), suggesting that precipitation had a strong scavenging effect on atmospheric particulate low-brominated PBDEs.

Wet dissolved deposition fluxes of PBDEs were high in summer and spring (Fig. 2). Wet dissolved deposition fluxes of PBDEs were uncorrelated with atmospheric concentrations or temperature, and the congener profile of wet dissolved deposition was considerably different from the atmospheric gaseous concentration, but comparable to the atmospheric particle concentration (Fig. 3). These findings indicate the combined influence of precipitation and atmospheric concentration. In other words, contaminants in the atmosphere were effectively washed out by rain at the initial period, a period that wet dissolved deposition flux was positively related to atmospheric concentration. Once precipitation decreases or the contaminants in the atmosphere are all washed out, the flushing efficiency decreases (Koester and Hites 1992). In addition, PBDEs that volatilized from soil (by air–soil exchange) enhanced the atmospheric gaseous concentration, which might also have influences on wet dissolved deposition.

Dry deposition velocities and washout ratios

Dry deposition velocities

In the absence of precipitation, compounds in the atmosphere reach the ground through dry deposition (Cetin and Odabasi 2007). Dry deposition velocities (V_D), which strongly depend on atmospheric concentrations, particle size distributions, wind speed, and deposition surface, are calculated based on dry particle deposition flux (F_D) and particulate concentrations in the atmosphere ($C_{p,air}$) (Li et al. 2010; Schure et al. 2004)

 $V_{\rm D} = F_{\rm D}/C_{\rm p,air}$

The $V_{\rm D}$ values of PBDEs ranged from 0.11 ± 0.15 cm s⁻¹ (BDE-183) to 0.24 ± 0.38 cm s⁻¹ (BDE-209) (Fig. 4), comparable to values reported from Hong Kong (0.24–0.32 cm s⁻¹) (Li et al. 2010) and urban Guangzhou (0.11–0.28 cm s⁻¹) (Zhang et al. 2012) but significantly lower than those measured at an urban site in Sweden (1.2–49 cm s⁻¹) (Schure et al. 2004) and a suburban site in Turkey (3.9–11.5 cm s⁻¹) (Cetin and Odabasi 2007). The difference may be ascribed to that the higher sampling height might reduce the velocities of dry





deposition, and $V_{\rm D}$ was expected to be higher at urban sites than at background area (Shannigrahi et al. 2005; Su et al. 2007).

Significant correlations between V_D and dry particle deposition fluxes were found for BDE-47 (R = 0.618, p < 0.05), BDE-99 (R = 0.770, p < 0.01), and BDE-209 (R = 0.937, p < 0.01), while no correlations between V_D and wind speed or humidity were found. In addition, V_D did not significantly differ between each individual PBDE, indicating that all PBDEs have similar particle size distribution. Moreover, the highest V_D values of PBDEs all occurred in summer, implying higher mechanical and thermal turbulences at high temperatures (Zhang et al. 2012).

Washout ratios

At rain events, pollutants in the atmosphere are washed out, and the washout ratio can be used to measure the removal efficiency of pollutants in the atmosphere (Cindoruk and Ozturk 2016). The total washout ratios (WR_T), which depend on the conditions, the characteristics of SOCs, the atmospheric contents, and other factors (Bidleman 1988), were empirically calculated by dissolved washout ratios (WR_D), particulate washout ratios (WR_P), and particulate concentration fraction (φ). In addition, WR_D and WR_P are calculated by gaseous/ dissolved and particulate concentrations in air and rain samples, respectively (Ry et al. 2002; Schure et al. 2004)

$WR_D = C_{d,rain}/C_{g,air}$
$WR_P = C_{p,rain}/C_{p,air}$
$WR_{T} = (1 - \varphi)WR_{D} + \varphi WR_{P}$

The calculated average WR_T of PBDEs ranged from 5.0×10^3 (BDE-28) to 4.2×10^4 (BDE-209) (Fig. 4), which were comparable with that measured in the Pearl River Delta (average of Σ_{15} PBDE was 4.1×10^4) (Zhang et al. 2009) but lower than those reported in Sweden (3.3×10^5 – 1.1×10^6) and Hong Kong and Guangzhou (7.7×10^3 – 3.5×10^8). Continuous and intense rain events might enhance the

volume but reduce the concentration of the deposition sample (Zhang et al. 2009). WR_T of BDE-209 was the highest among all PBDEs at JSH, which was comparable to that in the Pearl River Delta (6×10^4), suggesting that BDE-209 was the most easily to be scavenged by rain (Schure et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2009). Negative correlations between WR_T and temperature were found for BDE-28 (R = -0.587, p < 0.01) and BDE-47 (R = -0.535, p < 0.05), indicating that temperature was an important influencing factor on wet deposition of them.

The calculated WR_P of PBDEs ranged from 2.6×10^4 (BDE-183) to 8.1×10^4 (BDE-100), which were comparable to those of an urban site in Paris (4×10^4 – 5×10^5) (Tlili et al. 2012). Moreover, WR_P of PBDEs was several times higher than WR_D, which was consistent with the finding in Sweden that particle scavenging rather than gas scavenging is the main removal mechanism for PBDEs (Schure et al. 2004), implying that wet particle deposition was more efficient than wet dissolved deposition. Significant correlations were found between WR_P and wet particle deposition fluxes for BDE-183 (R = 0.805, p < 0.01) and BDE-209 (R = 0.872, p < 0.01), implying that the removal efficiency of particle-bound high-brominated PBDEs was high.

The calculated WR_D of PBDEs ranged from 5.4×10^2 (BDE-28) to 2.3×10^4 (BDE-209), and WR_D of BDE-209 is significantly higher than those of other PBDEs (Fig. 4). It is attributed that gaseous-phase concentrations of BDE-209 were lower than those of Σ 7PBDEs, whereas dissolved deposition fluxes of BDE-209 were higher than those of Σ 7PBDEs. Moreover, relatively lower dissolved deposition fluxes of Σ 7PBDEs might due to their revolatilization in a wet deposition sampler during the sampling period (about 2 weeks), which may not correspond to the original levels. In this study, WR_D was not correlated separately with meteorological parameters, deposition fluxes, or atmospheric concentrations, suggesting that it might be affected by air–soil exchange or the combined influence of these factors.

Soil concentrations and air-soil gas exchange

Soil samples were collected throughout the sampling year, and soil concentrations of PBDEs are presented in Table S3. The concentrations of Σ_8 PBDEs ranged from 29 to 240 pg g⁻¹ and were significantly lower than those of European background soils (65–12,000 pg g⁻¹ DW) (Hassanin et al. 2004). Here, BDE-209 was also dominant in Σ_8 PBDEs, with an average concentration of 75 ± 52 pg g⁻¹, and accounted for 67% of the Σ_8 PBDEs. The concentrations of the other seven PBDEs were all below 20 pg g⁻¹, which was in accordance with the characteristics of the background area.

To further understand the exchange at the air–soil interface, air–soil gas exchange fluxes of PBDEs were calculated (Table S4). The average air–soil gas exchange fluxes for the eight individual PBDEs ranged from -0.33 to -6.00 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, indicating net gas deposition status. The average net air–soil gas exchange flux of Σ_8 PBDEs was -16.1 ± 12.7 pg m⁻² day⁻¹, which was significantly lower than that of a suburban site in Turkey, with a gas deposition flux of 13,000 pg m⁻² day⁻¹ for Σ_7 PBDEs (without BDE-183) based on a 1year observation (Cetin and Odabasi 2007).

Seasonal variations of air–soil gas deposition fluxes of eight PBDEs are shown in Fig. 5. The net air–soil gas deposition fluxes of BDE-209 were high, especially in autumn, which might be attributed to the high atmospheric concentrations. Significant seasonal variations of net air–soil gas exchange fluxes for BDE-100, BDE-99, BDE-154, BDE-153, and BDE-183 were observed, which were scavenged largely in winter and early spring and reached an equilibrium in summer. Moreover, for these PBDEs, good correlations between the air–soil gas exchange fluxes and temperature were found (Table S5), indicating the influence of temperature on air–soil gas exchanges. For BDE-28 and BDE-47, they were scavenged largely in spring and summer and kept at deposition/

equilibrium status in autumn. Correlations for air–soil gas exchange fluxes of BDE-28 and BDE-47 with temperature (Table S5) and soil/air concentrations (C_s/C_a) (Table S6) indicated that the status of BDE-28 and BDE-47 was more related to C_s/C_a rather than to temperature.

Is the soil the source or the sink?

This study investigated the four processes: dry particle deposition, wet particle deposition, wet dissolved deposition, and air–soil gas exchange at the air–soil interface. The first two processes were related to particulate contaminants whereas the latter two were related to gaseous/dissolved contaminants. The annual fluxes of the four processes for PBDEs are summarized in Fig. 6, and the leading process was different for individual PBDEs.

As for BDE-209, dry particle deposition was the most important process at the air-soil interface, followed by wet dissolved deposition and wet particle deposition. Moreover, atmospheric deposition flux was significantly higher than net air-soil gas exchange flux (Fig. 6), indicating that atmospheric deposition was more important compared with air-soil gas exchange. Wet dissolved deposition fluxes of BDE-47 and BDE-99 were not only higher than dry and wet particle deposition fluxes but also much higher than net air-soil gas exchange fluxes, suggesting the dominance of wet dissolved deposition over the input to the soil. As for BDE-28, BDE-100, BDE-154, BDE-153, and BDE-183, dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition fluxes were almost similar and higher than net air-soil gas exchange fluxes, implying the collective input of dry particle, wet particle, and wet dissolved deposition. Overall, atmospheric deposition was an important input of PBDEs to soils at JSH.

In this study, the net air-soil gas exchange flux of Σ_8 PBDEs accounted for 2.5% of the total deposition flux



Fig. 5 Seasonal variation of net air-soil gas exchange fluxes for PBDEs

Fig. 6 Comparison of net air–soil gas exchange fluxes and deposition fluxes for PBDEs



and 6.0% of dry particle deposition, which was largely lower than that of a suburban site in Turkey (19.1% of dry particle deposition) (Cetin and Odabasi 2007). This suggested that air–soil gas exchange was not the principal process at the air–soil interface, and the levels of PBDEs in the soil were extremely low so that the re-volatilization of PBDEs from the soil was not significant. Therefore, the current PBDE pollution at JSH is relatively low, indicating that the overall PBDE pollution is lower in Central China compared to Southeastern China. In the long term, the soil at JSH will still be an important sink for contaminants and will not be converted into a source.

Conclusion

The measured atmospheric deposition and air-soil exchange fluxes of PBDEs at JSH were comparable to or lower than those of other background/rural sites. BDE-209 was the most prominent congener in deposition fluxes. Dry and wet particle deposition fluxes were primarily influenced by atmospheric particle concentrations and temperature, while wet dissolved depositions were controlled by precipitation and total atmospheric concentrations. Dry particle deposition velocities and washout ratios of PBDEs at JSH were lower than or comparable to those of other studies. The highest $V_{\rm D}$ and WR_T values were found for BDE-209, suggesting that BDE-209 was the most easily removed by wet and dry deposition. However, relatively lower WR_D of the other seven PBDEs may suggest their re-volatilization in the wet deposition sampler. It should be noted that due to several missing deposition data and sampling artefacts, limitations of these results might exist. Airsoil gas exchange fluxes of PBDEs were mainly influenced by temperature and air/soil concentrations. The result of atmospheric depositions and air-soil gas exchange fluxes indicated that the soil was an important sink for PBDEs, and atmospheric deposition played a more important role compared with airsoil gas exchange, especially particle deposition for highbrominated PBDEs and wet dissolved deposition for lowbrominated PBDEs. Air–soil gas exchange fluxes were largely lower than deposition fluxes, indicating that the revolatilization of PBDEs from the soil was not significant.

Funding information This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Nos. 2017YFC0212603 and 2016YFC0200905), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 41673102), the Wuhan Youth Science and Technology Program (Grant No. 2017050304010310), and the Open Foundation of Hubei Key Laboratory of Industrial Fume & Dust Pollution Control, Jianghan University (Grant No. HBIK2014-02).

References

- Arellano L, Fernandez P, Lopez JF, Rose NL, Nickus U, Thies H, Stuchlik E, Camarero L, Catalan J, Grimalt JO (2014) Atmospheric deposition of polybromodiphenyl ethers in remote mountain regions of Europe. Atmos Chem Phys 14:4441–4457. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4441-2014
- Argiriadis E, Rada EC, Vecchiato M, Zambon S, Ionescu G, Schiavon M, Ragazzi M, Gambaro A (2014) Assessing the influence of local sources on POPs in atmospheric depositions and sediments near Trento (Italy). Atmos Environ 98:32–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2014.08.035
- Backe C, Cousins IT, Larsson P (2004) PCB in soils and estimated soil-air exchange fluxes of selected PCB congeners in the south of Sweden. Environ Pollut 128:59–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2003. 08.038
- Bidleman TF (1988) Atmospheric processes: wet and dry deposition of organic compounds are controlled by their vapor—particle partitioning. Environ Sci Technol 22:361–367. https://doi.org/10. 1021/es00169a002
- Bidleman TF, Leone AD (2004) Soil-air exchange of organochlorine pesticides in the Southern United States. Environ Pollut 128:49– 57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2003.08.034
- Birgül A, Tasdemir Y (2011) Seasonal atmospheric deposition variations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and comparison of some deposition sampling techniques. Environ Sci Pollut Res 18:396–406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-010-0383-2
- Cabrerizo A, Dachs J, Barcelo D (2009) Development of a soil fugacity sampler for determination of air-soil partitioning of persistent

organic pollutants under field controlled conditions. Environ Sci Technol 43:8257–8263. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9020525

- Cetin B, Odabasi M (2005) Measurement of Henry's law constants of seven polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) congeners as a function of temperature. Atmos Environ 39:5273–5280. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.05.029
- Cetin B, Odabasi M (2007) Particle-phase dry deposition and air-soil gas-exchange of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Izmir, Turkey. Environ Sci Technol 41:4986–4992. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/es070187v
- Cindoruk SS, Ozturk E (2016) Atmospheric deposition of organochlorine pesticides by precipitation in a coastal area. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6697-y
- Degrendele C, Audy O, Hofman J, Kucerik J, Kukucka P, Mulder MD, Pribylova P, Prokes R, Sanka M, Schaumann GE, Lammel G (2016) Diurnal variations of air-soil exchange of semivolatile organic compounds (PAHs, PCBs, OCPs, and PBDEs) in a Central European receptor area. Environ Sci Technol 50:4278–4288. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.est.5b05671
- Fang M, Choi S-D, Baek S-Y, Jin G, Chang Y-S (2012) Deposition of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the vicinity of a steel manufacturing plant. Atmos Environ 49: 206–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.061
- Gambaro A, Radaelli M, Piazza R, Stortini AM, Contini D, Belosi F, Zangrando R, Cescon P (2009) Organic micropollutants in wet and dry depositions in the Venice Lagoon. Chemosphere 76:1017– 1022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.04.063
- Guo LC, Bao LJ, Wu FC, Yue Q, Zeng EY (2014) Seasonal deposition fluxes and removal efficiency of atmospheric polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a large urban center: importance of natural and anthropogenic factors. Environ Sci Technol 48:11196–11203. https://doi.org/10.1021/es503698f
- Harner T, Shoeib M (2001) Measurements of octanol–air partition coefficients (K_{OA}) for polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs): predicting partitioning in the environment. J Chem Eng Data 47: 228–232. https://doi.org/10.1021/je010192t
- Harner T, Bidleman TF, Jantunen LM, Mackay D (2001) Soil-air exchange model of persistent pesticides in the United States cotton belt. Environ Toxicol Chem 20:1612–1621. https://doi.org/10. 1002/etc.5620200728
- Hassanin A, Breivik K, Meijer SN, Steinnes E, Thomas GO, Jones KC (2004) PBDEs in European background soils: levels and factors controlling their distribution. Environ Sci Technol 38:738–745. https://doi.org/10.1021/es035008y
- Koblizková M, Růzicková P, Cupr P, Komprda J, Holoubek I, Klánová J (2009) Soil burdens of persistent organic pollutants: their levels, fate, and risks. Part iv. Quantification of volatilization fluxes of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls from contaminated soil surfaces. Environ Sci Technol 43:3588–3595. https:// doi.org/10.1021/es9003944
- Koester CJ, Hites RA (1992) Wet and dry deposition of chlorinated dioxins and furans. Environ Sci Technol 26:1375–1382. https://doi. org/10.1021/es00031a015
- La Guardia MJ, Hale RC, Harvey E (2006) Detailed polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) congener composition of the widely used penta-, octa-, and deca-PBDE technical flame-retardant mixtures. Environ Sci Technol 40:6247–6254. https://doi.org/10.1021/es060630m
- Li J, Zhang G, Xu Y, Liu X, Li XD (2010) Dry and wet particle deposition of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Guangzhou and Hong Kong, South China. J Environ Monit 12:1730–1736. https:// doi.org/10.1039/c001526a
- Li WL, Qi H, Ma WL, Liu LY, Zhang Z, Mohammed MOA, Song WW, Zhang ZF, Li YF (2015) Brominated flame retardants in Chinese air before and after the phase out of polybrominated diphenyl ethers.

Atmos Environ 117:156–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2015.07.021

- Li Q, Yang K, Li K, Liu X, Chen D, Li J, Zhang G (2017) New halogenated flame retardants in the atmosphere of nine urban areas in China: pollution characteristics, source analysis and variation trends. Environ Pollut 224:679–688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017. 02.052
- Möller A, Xie Z, Cai M, Sturm R, Ebinghaus R (2012) Brominated flame retardants and dechlorane plus in the marine atmosphere from Southeast Asia toward Antarctica. Environ Sci Technol 46:3141– 3148. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300138q
- Moon HB, Kannan K, Lee SJ, Choi M (2007) Atmospheric deposition of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in coastal areas in Korea. Chemosphere 66:585–593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2006.09.042
- Okonski K, Degrendele C, Melymuk L, Landlová L, Kukučka P, Vojta Š, Kohoutek J, Čupr P, Klánová J (2014) Particle size distribution of halogenated flame retardants and implications for atmospheric deposition and transport. Environ Sci Technol 48:14426–14434. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5044547
- Papachlimitzou A, Barber JL, Losada S, Bersuder P, Law RJ (2012) A review of the analysis of novel brominated flame retardants. J Chromatogr A 1219:15–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2011. 11.029
- POPs Action in China (2017) Three substances were added to the list at the eighth conference of the parties to the Stockholm Convention. http://www.china-pops.org/znxw/photo/201710/t20171026_94900. html
- Ry DAV, Gigliotti CL, Nelson ED, Totten LA, Eisenreich SJ (2002) Wet deposition of polychlorinated biphenyls in urban and background areas of the Mid-Atlantic States. Environ Sci Technol 36:3201– 3209. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0158399
- Schure AFHT, Agrell C, Bokenstrand A, Sveder J, Larsson P, Zegers BN (2004) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers at a solid waste incineration plant II: atmospheric deposition. Atmos Environ 38:5149–5155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.025
- Shannigrahi AS, Fukushima T, Ozaki N (2005) Comparison of different methods for measuring dry deposition fluxes of particulate matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the ambient air. Atmos Environ 39:653–662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2004.10.025
- Stockholm Convention (2014) The new POPs under the Stockholm Convention. http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/ TheNewPOPs/tabid/2511/Default.aspx
- Su YS, Wania F, Harner T, Lei YD (2007) Deposition of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to a boreal deciduous forest. Environ Sci Technol 41: 534–540. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0622047
- Tasdemir Y, Salihoglu G, Salihoglu NK, Birgül A (2012) Air-soil exchange of PCBs: seasonal variations in levels and fluxes with influence of equilibrium conditions. Environ Pollut 169:90–97. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.05.022
- Tian M, Chen SJ, Wang J, Shi T, Luo XJ, Mai BX (2011) Atmospheric deposition of halogenated flame retardants at urban, E-waste, and rural locations in Southern China. Environ Sci Technol 45:4696– 4701. https://doi.org/10.1021/es200112m
- Tittlemier SA, Halldorson T, Stern GA, Tomy GT (2002) Vapor pressures, aqueous solubilities, and Henry's law constants of some brominated flame retardants. Environ Toxicol Chem 21:1804–1810. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620210907
- Tlili K, Labadie P, Alliot F, Bourges C, Desportes A, Chevreuil M (2012) Polybrominated diphenyl ether dynamics in ambient air and atmospheric bulk/wet deposition in downtown Paris (France). Water Air Soil Pollut 223:1543–1553. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-0963-x

- Venier M, Hites RA (2008) Atmospheric deposition of PBDEs to the Great Lakes featuring a Monte Carlo analysis of errors. Environ Sci Technol 42:9058–9064. https://doi.org/10.1021/es8008985
- Yadav IC, Devi NL, Li J, Zhang G (2017) Environmental concentration and atmospheric deposition of halogenated flame retardants in soil from Nepal: source apportionment and soil-air partitioning. Environ Pollut 233:642–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.104
- Yang Z, Lin T, Cheng H, Wang Z, Cheng Z, Lyu X, Zhan L, Zhang G (2018) Concentration and seasonal variation of halogenated flame retardants at a CAWNET background site in Central China. Aerosol Air Qual Res 18:3068–3080. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2018.02. 0055
- Zhan LX, Lin T, Wang ZW, Cheng ZN, Zhang G, Lyu XP, Cheng HR (2017) Occurrence and air-soil exchange of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls at a CAWNET background site in Central China: implications for influencing factors and fate.

Chemosphere 186:475–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2017.08.003

- Zhang BZ, Guan YF, Li SM, Zeng EY (2009) Occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in air and precipitation of the Pearl River Delta, South China: annual washout ratios and depositional rates. Environ Sci Technol 43:9142–9147. https://doi.org/10. 1021/es901961x
- Zhang BZ, Zhang K, Li SM, Wong CS, Zeng EY (2012) Size-dependent dry deposition of airborne polybrominated diphenyl ethers in urban Guangzhou, China. Environ Sci Technol 46:7207–7214. https://doi. org/10.1021/es300944a

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.