**SEVIER** 

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 142 (2005) 243 – 261

Journal of volcanology and geothermal research

www.elsevier.com/locate/jvolgeores

# Variations of geothermometry and chemical-isotopic compositions of hot spring fluids in the Rehai geothermal field, southwestern China

Jianguo Du<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Congqiang Liu<sup>a</sup>, Bihong Fu<sup>c</sup>, Yoshiki Ninomiya<sup>c</sup>, Youlian Zhang<sup>d</sup>, Chuanyuan Wang<sup>b</sup>, Hualiu Wange, Zigang Sune

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Geochemistry CAS, Guiyang 550002, China<br><sup>b</sup>Contar for Anglysis and Prodiction CSP, Beijing 100036 C <sup>b</sup>Center for Analysis and Prediction CSB, Beijing 100036, China <sup>c</sup>Active Fault Research Center, Geological Survey of Japan, AIST, Tsukuba 305-8567, Japan d<br>Seismological Press CSB, Beijing 100081, China<br><sup>S</sup>Tengghong Station of Seismology and Volcanology, Yunnan Burgay of Seismolo <sup>e</sup>Tengchong Station of Seismology and Volcanology, Yunnan Bureau of Seismology, Tengchong 679100, China

Received 23 March 2004; accepted 9 November 2004

#### Abstract

Geothermal variations, origins of carbon-bearing components and reservoir temperatures in the Rehai geothermal field (RGF) of Tengchong volcanic area, Yunnan Province, southwestern China, are discussed on the basis of carbon isotope compositions, combined with helium isotope ratios and geothermal data from 1973 to 2000.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub>,  $CO_3^-$  and travertine in the hot springs range from  $-7.6\%$  to  $-1.18\%$ ,  $-56.9\%$  to  $-19.48\%$ ,  $-6.7\%$  to  $-4.2\%$ ,  $-6.4\%$  to  $-4.2\%$  and  $-27.1\%$  to +0.6\%, respectively. The carbon dioxide probably has a mantle/magma origin, but CH<sub>4</sub> and He have multiple origins.  $HCO_3^-$  and  $CO_3^+$  in RGF thermal fluids are predominantly derived from igneous carbon dioxide, but other ions originate from rocks through which the fluids circulate. The <sup>13</sup>C values of CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub> (aq) and CO<sub>3</sub> (aq) illustrate that isotopic equilibriums between  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  (aq), and  $CO_3^+$  (aq) and between DIC and travertine were not achieved, and no carbon isotope fractionation between HCO<sub>3</sub> (aq) and CO<sub>3</sub><sup> $=$ </sup> (aq) of the hot springs in RGF was found. Using various geothermometers, temperatures of the geothermal reservoirs are estimated in a wide range from 69  $\degree$ C to 450  $\degree$ C that fluctuated from time to time. The best estimate of subsurface reservoir temperature may be  $250-300$  °C. Contributions of mantle fluids and shallow crust fluids in Rehai geothermal field varied with time, which resulted in variations of chemical and isotopic compositions and reservoir temperatures.

 $© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.$ 

Keywords: carbon isotopes; geothermal fluids; geothermometry; geochemistry; Tengchong volcanic area; Southwest China

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Institute of Geothermal Science, Kyoto University, Beppu 874-0903, Japan. Tel.: +81 977 22 0713x23. E-mail addresses: jianguodu@hotmail.com, jianguodu@bep.vgs.kyoto-u.ac.jp (J. Du).

 $0377-0273$ /\$ - see front matter  $\odot$  2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2004.11.009

## 1. Introduction

Chemical and isotopic geochemistry plays an important role in researching origins of hot-spring fluids and temperature of geothermal reservoir. Carbon isotope compositions of various carbonbearing components in a geothermal system differ-entiate each other ([Bergfeld et al., 2001\)](#page-17-0) and <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios of different gases are obviously dissimilar ([Mamyrin and Tolstikhin, 1984; Du, 1994; Du et al.,](#page-17-0) in press) because of the isotope fractionation and different origins. In addition, it was reported that observed carbon isotope ratios in geothermal discharges were likely to represent frozen in compositions attained after minimum residence times of 20 ka at 400 °C and 10 Ma at 300 °C ([Giggenbach, 1982\)](#page-17-0). Therefore, the origins of fluid components and heat, temperature of reservoir and geothermal resources can be investigated by the isotopic geochemical technique. Molecular compositions of spring gases were employed to trace origins of gases ([Xu et al., 1994;](#page-18-0) Goff and Janik, 2002) and to estimate temperature of geothermal reservoir ([D'Amore and Panichi, 1980;](#page-17-0) Arnorsson and Gunnlaugsson, 1985). The helium isotope ratios of hydrothermal fluids in volcanic areas usually are concordant with those of mantle helium and/or show mixture of different amount crust helium ([Xu et al., 1994; Du et al., 1999\)](#page-18-0). However, hydrothermal fluids in the region of lack Quaternary volcano have a lower  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He value, for example, the observed mean  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratios of hot spring gases from Yangbajin district and some northern sites in the southern Tibetan plateau are 0.12  $R_A (R_A=1.4\times10^{-6})$ and 0.22  $R_A$ , respectively, indicated more crustal gas contribution to hot springs ([Yokoyama et al., 1999\)](#page-18-0). Additionally, isotopic ratios of oxygen and hydrogen of waters, combined with dissolved ion concentration, are widely used in studying water origins and water– rock reaction ([Liao and Zhao, 1999; Minissale et al.,](#page-17-0) 2003).

The many contributions have also been done in researching the geothermal resource in southwest Yunnan Province since the beginning of 1970s in order to reduce timber consumption for domestic using ([Tong and Zhang, 1989; Liao and Zhao, 1999\)](#page-18-0). The stable isotopic compositions of carbon dioxide and helium of gases in the Tengchong volcanic area were conducted for tracing origins of gases and heat ([Li,](#page-17-0) 1991; Wang et al., 1994; Xu et al., 1994; Shangguan et al., 2000). In early 1990s, magnetotelluric sounding was conducted for exploring geothermal reservoir, which revealed that high conductivity layers exist, one at depth from 2 to 3 km which may indicate reservoir and another from 7 to 20 km which may hint a cooling magma chamber (geothermal source) under Rehai geothermal field (RGF) ([Liao and Zhao, 1999\)](#page-17-0). Recently, [Lou et al. \(2002\)](#page-17-0) showed a three-dimensional (3-D) diagram of a low-velocity body at depth from 3 to 12 km under in RGF. However, the geothermal resource is used for bathing now and was used for power supplying with some small factories that were closed several years ago because of water pollution, but no geothermal power plant was established. One of the main reasons is that potential of geothermal reservoir is not clear enough. So far, although detailed chemical and isotope investigations have been made of waters and gases of hot springs, as mentioned before, carbon isotope distribution in coexisting carbon dioxide,  $CO_3^=$ , HCO<sub>3</sub>, methane and deposits (travertine) of the hot springs in RGF and temporal chemical variations of the geothermal fluids are not described in the literature.

In this paper, we present systematically the variations of geochemical features and carbon isotopic compositions of coexisting carbon-bearing pieces of the hot springs in the Rehai geothermal field. Our objectives are to discuss the causes for time-variation of chemical components, origins of fluids and reservoir temperature.

## 2. Geological and geothermal setting

Rehai (meaning Hot Sea) geothermal field, located about 11 km southwest of Tengchon town, is the biggest and hottest geothermal system in the Tengchong volcanic area. Tengchong volcanic area is located in Yunnan Province, southwest China, and is one of several active volcano areas in China ([Fig. 1;](#page-2-0) [Jiang et al., 1998; Wei et al., 2003\)](#page-17-0). Tengchong volcanic area is tectonically situated in the mini-Tengchong block that is located in the eastern collision boundary between the India and Eurasia plates. To the west, the mini-Tengchong block is connected with the Tibetan plateau, which is the highest mountainous region induced by the India–Eurasia collision, and to

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Geological map of the Tengchong volcanic area Yunnan Province, southwestern China (modified after [Jiang et al., 1998\)](#page-17-0) showing the locations of Rehai geothermal field with large circle, upper right diagram shows the location of Tengchong in China.

the east it borders with the southern China plate and the Indo-China block. The mini-Tengchong block developed from a micro continent between Gondwanaland and Eurasia since the Late Paleozoic, tectonic activity became very intense up to the early Cenozoic. Quaternary volcanoes and fault structures are presently the most conspicuous features of this region. The active faults (faulting movement occurred since 100 ka BP) with strikes of NNE, NS, NW and NE have been well developed in the region ([Liao and Guo, 1986;](#page-17-0) Jiang et al., 1998).

The Tengchong volcanic area is characterized by several dozen basalt and andesitic craters and cones formed during Late Tertiary–Quaternary. The 68

different volcanoes, of which three are Holocene volcanoes (namely Dayingshan, Maanshan and Heikongshan), have been identified in a region of  $24^{\circ}40'$  – $25^{\circ}30'$  N and 98 $^{\circ}15'$  –98 $^{\circ}45'$  E, and about 140 sites of hot spring activity have been found (Fig. 1). The volcanic activity can be divided into four periods: (1) middle–late Pliocene to Middle Pleistocene basalt  $(3.83\pm0.72-0.9 \text{ Ma})$ , (2) middlelate Pleistocene silicic pyroclastic rocks (0.8–0.01 Ma) and (3) Holocene basaltic andesites (0.01–  $0.007$  Ma), the latest eruption occurred in Qing Dynasty (1609) ([Jiang, 1998; Wei et al., 2003\)](#page-17-0). Detailed 3-D P-wave velocity structure of the lithosphere reveals strong low-velocity zones in the upper

crust with a width of about 30 km under RGF ([Lou](#page-17-0) et al., 2002). The low-velocity zones extend to depths of 85 km into the mantle with a lateral extent of about 100 km under Tengchong volcanic area ([Huang et al., 2002\)](#page-17-0), suggesting the possibility of subjacent existence of magma chambers and/or bodies of partial melt.

Beneath the Quaternary volcanic rocks, strata of RGF consist primarily of Quaternary sediments, Tertiary sandstone and conglomerate, Pliocene olivine-bearing basalt and Yanshannian (66~205 Ma) granite and andesite. Rocks encountered in the boreholes in the studied area are mainly sandy conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, clay, basalt, andesite, granite and migmatite (Table 1; [Liao and Zhao,](#page-17-0) 1999). Surface hydrothermal minerals in the geothermal system consist predominantly of sulfates (gypsum, alunogen, halotrichite), carbonates (calcite, trona, thermonatrite), clay minerals (kaolinite, illite– smectrite mixed layer mineral) and silica minerals (opal, chalcedony) ([Zhu and Tong, 1987\)](#page-18-0).

Several geothermal systems occur in the Tengchong volcanic area and the most important geothermal system is RGF. The hydrothermal activity can be divided in four periods according to the isotopic dating of hot spring sediment: (1) about 380–300 ka, (2) 300–180 ka, (3) 40–80 ka and (4) since 20–30 ka ([Liao and Zhao, 1999\)](#page-17-0). Hydrothermal manifestations, such as hot springs, geysers, fumaroles and steaming ground can be found throughout RGF. Dozens of hot spring waters in RGF have been analyzed and can be classified into three chemical groups. The first are  $Na-CI-HCO<sub>3</sub>$  waters discharged from the hottest springs. The second are  $Na-HCO<sub>3</sub>$ – Cl waters mainly found in the hot springs adjacent to springs of the first type and the third are  $Na-HCO<sub>3</sub>$  waters mainly found in lower temperature springs ([Zhang et al., 1987\)](#page-18-0).

More than 20 boreholes in RGF were drilled for exploring core deposits (Au and U) and geothermal resource during 1976–1993 ([Table 2;](#page-4-0) [Liao and Zhao,](#page-17-0) 1999). The higher temperature measured at depth of 380 m in drill hole (ZK1607) deep to 400 m was 142  $\degree$ C, and temperatures measured at depths of 210–220 m in holes of CK1840 and CK1921 were 60 $\degree$ C at southern RGF, accordingly estimated temperature gradients are  $23.68$  to  $100.00$  °C/100 m; and the lower temperature gradients obtained in some shallow boreholes were 2.46 to 43.86  $\degree$ C/100 m. In addition, measured values of heat flow in Huaguaqing–Liuhuangtang district range from 74.2 to 147.3 mW/ $M^2$ ([Liao and Zhao, 1999\)](#page-17-0).

Hydrothermal activity in RGF has become more frequent since 1993. According to observed data of the Tengchong Station of Seismology and Volcanology (TSSV), more than 20 hydrothermal explosions or mud volcanic eruptions have occurred in RGF since then. The very severe hydrothermal eruptions occurred near Hamazhui on 13 May 1994, near Huantaijing in November 1996, at Xipoxia on 7 June 1999, at east Xipoxia on the south bank of Zhaotanghe River on 20 September 1999 and near Shizitou on 10 October 2001 ([Shangguan et al., 2004\)](#page-18-0). The eruptions caused landslides, fortunately, which did not cause any life loss and building damages because no people live nearby there.

## 3. Sampling and analytical methods

During fieldwork of August 2000 in Yunnan Province, we surveyed the volcanoes and the geo-

Table 1





<span id="page-4-0"></span>



MD—the maximum depth, DMT—depth measuring temperature, TG—temperature gradient.

thermal fields in Tengchong County and collected samples of spring deposits (carbonate, sulfate and silica minerals), and water and gas from hot springs of RGF ([Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0) in order to investigate the carbon isotope compositions of  $CO_2$ ,  $CO_3^-$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $CH_4$  and travertine. Spring gases and waters were collected using glass bottles (250 mL) in order to avoid carbon isotope contamination. The glass bottles were first filled with spring water and then positioned upside down in the spring outflow for collecting gas bubbles. The filled spring water was partially replaced by gas and a gas sample was collected. Water samples were collected with glass bottles that were directly put into the hot spring water and sealed with a rubber block in the water in order to avoid air contamination.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Figure showing positions of samples in the Rehai geothermal field, Tengchong: 1, Quaternary sediments; 2, middle Pleistocene basalt; 3, Neogene arenarious conglomerate; 4, Yanshanian granite; 5, Holocene fault and 6, Hot springs (Dg: Dagunguo, Lg: Laogunguo; Xg: Xiaogunguo, Ht: Huaitaijing, Zt: Zaotanghe, Zh: Zhenzhuquan, Sz: Shizitou, Yj: Yanjingquan, Gm: Gumingquan, Hm: Hamazhui, Xb: Xiaobaiyan, Db: Dabaiyan, St: Shizitang, Xp: Xipoxia).

The molecular compositions of gaseous samples were determined with Finnigan MAT 271 mass spectrometer (MS) in Lanzhou Institute of Geology (LIG), Chinese Academy of Sciences. Five-microliter gas was taken from the sample bottle with a mini injector, and injected into the sample entrance line connected to the MS.

Concentrations of  $CO_3^-$  and  $HCO_3^-$  in hot spring waters were chemically analyzed by acid titration in the field. The carbon-bearing species  $(CO_3^{\dagger}$  and HCO<sub>3</sub>) in hot spring waters were separately precipitated with  $Ba(OH)_2$  and NaOH. The  $BaCO_3$  deposits were filtered from the liquids and dried at about 50 $\degree$ C in the laboratory at TSSV on the same day of sampling water. Carbon isotopic analyses of DIC were conducted with an MS of Finnigan MAT 252 installed at the Laboratory Center of the Research Institute of Petroleum Exploration and Development (RIPED) after the traditional treatment of precipitates with phosphoric acid, and one sample (Lg) was verified at LIG because of the very negative value.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of carbon dioxide in the gas samples were determined at LIG. Methane in the geothermal gas samples was separated and combusted, the carbon dioxide product was purified and the carbon isotope identification was confirmed on the line of GC-C-MS (MAT 252) at LIG ([Du et al., 2003\)](#page-17-0). The  $\delta^{13}$ C values were normalized to PDB. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the geothermal methane have an error of less than 0.1 per mil, and those of the travertine and DIC have errors less than 0.2 per mil.

#### 4. Results and discussion

## 4.1. Geochemistry of the geothermal waters

The chemical compositions of the hot spring waters in RGF have been analyzed many times by different researchers. We selected some data for the same sites to study chemical variations of hot springs ([Table 3;](#page-6-0) [Tong and Zhang, 1989; Li, 1991; Liao and](#page-18-0) Zhao, 1999). Total dissolved solid (TDS) of the water samples of the high temperature  $(>40 \degree C)$  springs has a range of 930 to 3628 mg/L. Temperature and pH values of the high temperature springs are in the ranges of 78 to 96  $\degree$ C and 7 to 9.45, respectively.  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ , Cl and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  were prevalent in the hot springs, and concentrations range from 512 to 1280 mg/L, 269 to 712 mg/L and 97.5 to 491.25 mg/L, respectively. Nevertheless, carbonate ion was not detected in all water samples of Dagunguo spring (Big Boiling Pool) and three water samples of Laogunguo spring. Concentrations of Na are much higher than those of K, Ca, Mg and Li. Lithium concentrations are between 2.1 and 8.3 mg/L. Hot spring waters in RGF are chemical type of  $Na-CI-HCO<sub>3</sub>$  waters that were diluted and mixed with different amounts of deep geothermal waters ([Table 3;](#page-6-0) [Fig. 3\)](#page-7-0). This is responsible for higher concentrations of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , Cl and Li, but lower Ca and Mg, which are usually found in the high temperature geothermal system ([D'Amore et](#page-17-0) al., 1987; Goff and Janik, 2000). As mentioned by [Liao and Zhao \(1999\),](#page-17-0) the deep source water of the hot springs in RGF may be Na–Cl water, and mix with shallow fresh waters to different extents during upward migration. The concentrations of main cations and anion in the spring waters fluctuated distinctively with time ([Fig. 3\)](#page-7-0). Variations of  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ , K and Mg concentrations are larger than those of  $SO_4$  and Na with time, and Cl concentration in waters of the four hot springs changed slightly. The concentrations of main ions in the studied springs decreased from 1973

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Concentration data (mg/L) are selected from different references but at the same sampling positions; data of 1973 and 1994 after Liao and Zhao [\(1999\)](#page-17-0); those of 1980 after [Tong](#page-18-0) and Zhang (1989) and those of 1990 after Li [\(1991\)](#page-17-0). Analytical methods employed by the authors were flame-photometry for Na and K, atomic absorption spectrophotometry for Ca, Mg and Li, titration and colorimetry for CO<sub>3</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub>, Cl, F and SiO<sub>2</sub>, and titration and turbidimetry for SO<sub>4</sub>; nd, not determined. Calculated temperatures in °C, the employed geothermometers of  $T_{\text{SiO2}}$  and  $T_{\text{Na/Li}}$  after Verma and [Santoyo](#page-18-0) (1997),  $T_{\text{Na/K}}$  after Can [\(2002\)](#page-17-0),  $T_{\text{K-Mg}}$  after [Giggenbach](#page-17-0) (1988) and  $T_{\text{Na-KCa}}$  after [D'Amore](#page-17-0) et al. (1987) and [Fournier](#page-17-0) and Truesdell (1973), the formula shown in the text.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>250 J. Du et al. / Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 142 (2005) 243–261



Fig. 3. (a) Ternary diagram of Cl–SO<sub>4</sub>–HCO<sub>3</sub>, (b) ternary diagram of Na/400, K/10 and  $(Mg)^{0.5}$ , in mg/L (Modified from [Shevenell and Goff,](#page-18-0) 1995).

to 1980, increased from 1980 to 1990 and dropped from 1990 to 1994 with the exception of Li and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ . However,  $SO_4$  and F concentrations show a decreasing trend from 1973 to 1994. The chemical variations of the springs with time could be caused by seasonal variations of shallow groundwater mixture. Temperature of Reshuitang spring decreased with time, indicating decrease of heat derived from deep geothermal fluids and/or increase of mixture with cool groundwater.

Dissolved ions in the sample waters in the study area could be mainly derived from reactions of geothermal fluids with rocks and from contributions of magmatic degassing. Concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup> and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  have a positive correlation (Fig. 4a), which was considered as an index of mixture of deep-hot water and shallow-cold water ([Arnorsson, 1985\)](#page-17-0). Another evidence for the mixture of different waters is that  $\delta^{18}$ O of water directly corresponds with Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration (Fig. 4b). Probably, the DIC is predominantly



Fig. 4. Plots of Cl vs.  $SiO_2$  (a) and Cl vs.  $\delta^{18}O$  (b). Showing correlations between ion concentrations and oxygen isotopic compositions of waters in RGF, data after [Liao and Zhao \(1999\).](#page-17-0)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>derived from magmatic carbon  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  because the hot spring waters are supersaturated with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and limestone does not exist in the subsurface of Tengchong volcanic area.

Spring water in the study area was derived from meteoric water. Annual value of regional precipitation is 1425 mm that is plenty to supply water to the springs in the Tengchong district where active faults are very developed ([Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-2-0). Most of spring waters in Tengchong district were classified as fresh water [\(Tong and Zhang, 1989\)](#page-18-0). However, waters from high-temperature springs in RGF show higher values of TDS ([Table 3\)](#page-6-0), which may be caused by deep circulation of water and interaction between water and rocks. The isotopic ratios of hydrogen and oxygen of hot spring waters show characteristics of meteoric water and with different degrees of shift caused by water–rock reaction and/or magmatic fluid addition (Fig. 5). Five points of steam-condensed waters are scattered along the low part of the meteoric water line (triangles in Fig. 5), which can be explained by the processes of vaporization and condensation because

water vaporization results in heavy isotope (D and  $18$ O) depletion in vapor, and consequently condensed water from the vapor depletes more in D and  $^{18}$ O than the source water. The points of hot spring waters in RGF depart from the lines of global and local (Tengchong district) meteoric waters, which resulted from water–rock reaction or magamtic fluid mixture. Data of samples collected in November 1993 ([Ohsawa](#page-18-0) et al., 1995), in the active period of hydrothermal explosion, are plotted between those of steamcondensed waters and hot spring waters collected before 1989 ([Tong and Zhang, 1989\)](#page-18-0), indicating a change in isotopic composition caused by contribution of deep steam condensation.

### 4.2. Geochemistry of the hot spring gases

Molecular concentrations and helium isotopic ratios of gases from the hot springs changed with time ([Table 4\)](#page-9-0). In a triangle plot of  $CH<sub>4</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>/$  $(Ar+O<sub>2</sub>)$  ([Fig. 6a](#page-10-0)), it is evident that the points of a same spring in different times scattered widely,



Fig. 5. Diagram of  $\delta$ D vs.  $\delta^{18}$ O of waters in Rehai geothermal field, Yunnan Province, southwestern China. Showing spring-steam-condensed water (triangle), hot spring waters (diamond), river water (solid circle) (data from [Liao and Zhao, 1999\)](#page-17-0); open circle: hot spring waters (data from [Ohsawa et al., 1995\)](#page-18-0); the lines: GMWL, global meteoric water ( $\delta D = 8\delta^{18}O + 10$ ) and TCMWL, meteoric water in Tengchong district  $(\delta D = 7.8\delta^{18}O + 14.9$ , [Tong and Zhang, 1989\)](#page-18-0); dashed cycle, supposed local meteoric water; the arrow lines illustrate the isotopic shifting tendencies caused by different factors. Note the isotopic values for the hot springs scattered on the right of GMWL and between the arrow lines of steam condensation and water–rock reaction and magmatic water addition, indicating the contributions of deep steam and magamtic water and effect of water–rock reaction on the hot spring waters.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 4Gas compositions, <sup>3</sup>He<sup>4</sup>He and geothermometry of spring gas in the Rehai geothermal field of Tengchong volcanic area, China

No.	Spring	Date	$H_2S$	H <sub>2</sub>	He	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO	CO <sub>2</sub>	Ar	O <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	$\mathrm{^3He/^4He}$	$T_{\text{CHS}}$	$T_{\rm CO2}$	$T_{\rm H2S}$	$T_{\rm H2}$	$T_{\rm H2S/H}$	$T_{\rm CO2/H}$	$T_{\rm CO2/N2}$
Dg	Dagonguo	1980				0.25		49.7		6.55	29.46			166					158
		1990		0.014	0.0041	0.33		35.26	0.61		54.75	3.86		160		227		244	135
		1994			0.042	1.36		97.35			1.24	3.26		239					280
		1998	0.21	0.23	0.013	1.22		94.86	0.052	0.03	2.18	4.19	167	201	188	250	305	267	260
		2000			0.011	1.43		79.31	0.3	4.61	14.32			196					200
Lg	Laogongguo	1990		0.0023	0.0039	0.33		55.2	0.4		38.08	3.90		180		209		217	160
		1994			0.014	0.50		99.09	0.088		0.31	3.37		203					322
		1998	0.23	0.063	0.0047	0.64		96.17	0.065		3.12	4.24	142	202	190	239	282	251	252
		2000			0.0029	0.61		93.53	0.13	0.39	5.34			200					235
Ht	Huaitaijing	1990		0.022	0.0005	0.04		42.38	0.56	11.68	47.67	2.35		169		231		248	145
		1994				0.13		99.66			3.2	3.80		203					252
		1998	0.077	0.063	0.0003	0.02		93.58	0.042		3.05	2.92	160	200	168	239	300	251	252
		2000		0.27		0.0092	0.14	28.85	1.27	21.91	47.46			148		254		284	134
Rs	Reshuitang	1990		0.0086	0.0004			10.33	0.87	17.53	71.23	2.29		79		111 <sup>b</sup>		106	90
		1992		0.0076	0.0031	0.34		32.41	0.63		56.33	3.27		155		110 <sup>b</sup>		69	132
		2000			0.0004	0.23	0.051	68.02	0.57	5.02	26.09			189					178
Zz	Zhaozhichang	2000				0.06	0.061	88.8	0.22	2.95	7.88			199					222

Data are selected from different references but at the same sampling positions, Data of 1998 after [Shangguan](#page-18-0) et al. (2000); 1994: Dai et al. [\(1995\)](#page-17-0); 1992: Wang et al. [\(1994\)](#page-18-0); 1990: [Li](#page-17-0) (1991); 1980: Tong and [Zhang](#page-18-0) (1989) and others: this study. Date was the sampling years. Gas compositions in volume percent,  ${}^{3}$ He/<sup>4</sup>He normalized to  $R_A$ . Employed gas geothermometers:  $T_{CO2} = -44.1+269.25\log(CO_2) - 76.88(\log(CO_2)^2 + 9.52(\log(CO_2)^3)$ ,  $T_{H2S} = 246.7+44.81\log(H_2S)$  and  $T_{H2S} = 173.2+65.04\log(H_2S)$ ,  $T_{H2} = 277.2+20.99\log(H_2)$  and  $T_{\rm H2}^{\rm b}$ =212.2=38.59log(H<sub>2</sub>),  $T_{\rm H2S/HI}$ =304.1–39.48log(H<sub>2</sub>S/H<sub>2</sub>),  $T_{\rm CO2/H}^{\rm a}$ =341.7–28.57log(CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>) and  $T_{\rm CO2/H2}^{\rm b}$ =311.7–66.72log(CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>) (Arnorsson and [Gunnlaugsson,](#page-17-0) 1985; Zhao and Armannsson, 1996);  $T_{CHS} = [24775/(\alpha + \beta + 36.05) - 273 (\alpha = 2 \log CH_4/CO_2) - 6\log (H_2/CO_2) - 3\log (H_2/CO_2)$ ,  $\beta = -7\log P_{CO2}$  [\(D'Amore](#page-17-0) and Panichi, 1980);  $T_{CO2}$  $N_2$ =148.5+64.35log(CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>)+5.239log(CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> -1.832log(CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>)<sup>3</sup> (Zhao and [Armannsson,](#page-18-0) 1996).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>showing concentration variations of gas components of hot spring gases from RGF and air contribution to the hot spring gases is less in 2000. The time variations of gas concentrations resulted from contribution changes of gases from mantle, crust and atmosphere. The variations of helium isotope ratios ([Table 4\)](#page-9-0) indicate amount change of mantle gas contribution temporally. Samples of 1998 were collected after a big hydrothermal explosion of 1997 in RGF, having the largest helium isotope ratios.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations and increase of  ${}^{3}$ He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios of hot spring gas released from Unzen volcanic area, Japan also varied from 1983 to 1992, which was most likely due to addition of magmatic gases ([Notsu](#page-17-0) et al., 2001). In a plot of relative  $N_2$ –He–Ar contents (Fig. 6b), hot spring gases in RGF fall on the trends between He-rich component derived from mantle and/ or crust and an Ar-rich component derived from air. Therefore, it can be deduced that  $N_2$  and Ar, the hot springs of RGF, were largely derived from air with mixture of lithosphere gas.

For researching origins of He and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  of the hot springs in Tengchong volcanic area, we plotted the values of  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He vs.  $\delta {}^{13}C_{CO2}$  ([Fig. 7\)](#page-11-0), along with values from different locations around the world

([Taylor, 1986; Du et al., 1999\)](#page-18-0). The data from springs in RGF (in solid symbols) are largely plotted in the area of gases from volcanoes and geothermal fields such as Merapi, Ardoukoba, Momotombo, Hakone, Pinchincha, Pozzuoli Solfatare, Yellowstone and Casa Diablo and Hot Creek in Long Valley. The open triangles and open semicircles stand for data from other springs in the Tengchong district. One with the highest  $R/R_A$  value, 7.54, from Heshun north of RGF is comparable to the values from the regions of Coso Hot Springs, Casa Diablo, Long valley, California and Uzon volcano, in which He and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  were derived from mantle. Two samples with lower  $R/R_A$  ratios from Xiposhang in RGF are near the value of air. It is obvious that helium of hot springs in Tengchong district is depleted in  $3$ He relatively to typical mantle gases that have <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratio  $(R/R_A)$  larger than 8 and gases from some geothermal areas ([Mamyrin and Tolstikhin, 1984;](#page-17-0) Goff and Janik, 2002).

Carbon isotope ratios from RGF are in the range of carbon dioxide derived from mantle and consistent with those from other geothermal systems in magmatic environments. Isotopic compositions of helium and carbon dioxide demonstrate that helium is derived



Fig. 6. (a) Ternary diagram of  $CH_4 \times 100-CO_2-N_2/(Ar+O_2)$ , (b) ternary diagram of He–Ar–N<sub>2</sub>. Showing gas components variation of some hot springs in RGF with time.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fig. 7. Carbon and helium isotope correlations for volcanic and volcanic-geothermal gases (modified after [Taylor, 1986\)](#page-18-0). Rectangular fields indicate ranges of analyses. Numbers in round brackets,  $R/R_A$ ; in square brackets,  $\delta^{13}C$  of CO<sub>2</sub>; A, mixing line of mantle-organic carbon; and B, mixed line of mantle-marine carbonate. Locations: G, Geysers, Ca; KR, Krafla, Iceland; K, Kilauea, Hawaii; C, Coso Hot Springs, Ca; SS, Steamboat Springs, Nev.; LV, Casa Diablo, Long Valley, Ca; HC, Hot Creek, Long Valley, Ca; Y, Yellowstone ([Taylor, 1986\)](#page-18-0); number-marked circle: 1, Nagauruhoe; 2, Kuju; 3, Mt. Lassen; 4, Mid-Atlantic Ridge; 5, Ebeko, 6, East Pacific Rise (21°N); 7, Famous Vesicles; 8–9, Galapagos; 10, Soufriere de Guadaloupe; 11, Showashinzan; 12, Usu; 13, Merapi; 14 Ardoukoba; 15, Momotombo; 16, Hakone; 17, Vulcano; 18, Pinchincha; 19, Solfatare Pozzuoles; 20, Uzon ([Taylor, 1986\)](#page-18-0); f, Wudalianchi volcanic area ([Du et al., 1999\)](#page-17-0). Data of Tengchong volcanic area scattered in the shadow area: a ([Shangguan et al., 2000\)](#page-18-0), b ([Li, 1991\)](#page-17-0) and c ([Dai et al., 1995\)](#page-17-0) present data for the Rehai geothermal field; d ([Shangguan et al., 2000\)](#page-18-0) and e ([Li, 1991\)](#page-17-0) for other places in Tengchong district.

from mantle, atmosphere and crust, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is dominantly of magmatic origin. Using  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  and  ${}^{4}He/{}^{20}Me$  ratios. Yu at al. (1994) estimated that  $^{4}$ He/ $^{20}$ Ne ratios, [Xu et al. \(1994\)](#page-18-0) estimated that contributions of mantle helium to hot spring gases in RGF range from 27 to 52%, crustal gas contributions range from 25 to 49% and atmosphere gas from 0 to 48%. It is worth mentioning that higher  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratios ( $>5$  R<sub>A</sub>) were obtained from the samples of 1998 ([Shangguan et al., 2000\)](#page-18-0), which indicates fluctuating contributions of gases derived from mantle after 1990. Observation of TSSV shows that hydrothermal explosion did not occur from July 1978 to January 1993, and more than 20 hydrothermal explosions occurred from 1993 to 2001.

Biogenic/immature gases have very low concentrations of ethane and higher alkanes, but predominant methane enriched in lighter carbon isotope ( $\delta^{13}$ C $\leq$ – 55x); methane in mature and post-mature gases is isotopically heavier than in immature gases, typically with  $\delta^{13}$ C values from  $-50\%$  to  $-25\%$ ; abiogenic <span id="page-12-0"></span>methane has  $\delta^{13}$ C value larger than  $-20\%$  ([Stahl,](#page-18-0) 1977; Du et al., 2003). However, methane generated from sedimentary organic matter at high temperature and high pressure can have the features of such heavier carbon isotope ratio and reverse isotope distribution among gaseous hydrocarbons, which means that methane produced by sedimentary organic matter subducted into deep-earth can also have heavier carbon isotopic composition ([Du et al.,](#page-17-0) 2003). Methane of the hot spring in RGF has a wider range of  $\delta^{13}$ C values,  $-56.9\%$  to  $-19.5\%$  (Table 5). Methane, with  $\delta^{13}$ C value from  $-44.5\%$  to  $-24.0\%$ , in the hot springs of RGF would be generated from organic matter in the mature and post-mature stages on the basis of carbon isotope compositions, but abiogenic methane was considered as another source of methane ([Dai et al., 1995\)](#page-17-0). With an exception, methane in the sample of August 2000 from Huaitaijing spring with a value of  $-56.9\%$  would be generated by bacterial process, otherwise [Dai et al.](#page-17-0) (1995) reported a larger value of  $-20.97\%$  and concluded that methane in their sample would be abiogenic. [Wang et al. \(1994\)](#page-18-0) proposed that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and CH4 from hot springs in RGF were derived from mantle based on heavier carbon isotopic compositions. Therefore, the molecular and isotopic variations of methane in the hot springs may result from varying contributions of methane in different periods. In any case, higher concentration  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with small amount of CH4 derived from deep-earth may reach isotopic equilibrium between them under high temperature, which is supposed to be precondition for carbon isotopic geothermometer.

#### 4.3. Chemical and gas geothermometry

Dissolved chemical species and gas concentrations were widely used to obtain information on subsurface temperatures using multiple fluid–mineral equilibrium calculations. Some chemical geothermometers have been proposed and applied to many geothermal systems ([Fournier and Truesdell, 1973; D'Amore](#page-17-0) and Panichi, 1980; D'Amore et al., 1987; Giggenbach, 1988; Verma and Santoyo, 1997; Goff and Janik, 2000; Can, 2002). We selected some chemical geothermometers to calculate subsurface temperatures in RGF in order to explore subsurface temperature variations with time. The calculated results are listed



 $<sup>b</sup> t = exp[(143.36$ </sup>

 $-\delta$ )/20.75] calculated with  $\beta$  factors [\(Richet](#page-18-0) et al., 1977).

 $1 - 1 - 1$ 

in [Table 3.](#page-6-0) The employed geothermometers are as following:

 $T_{\text{SiO2}} = C_1 + C_2S + C_3S^2 + C_4\text{log}S$ (S is  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration, if  $SiO<sub>2</sub>< 295$  ppm,  $C_1 = -44.119, C_2 = 0.24469,$  $C_3 = -1.7414 \times 10 - 4, C_4 = 79.305$ or  $T = C_1 + C_2S$  (1)

(if 210 $\leq$ T $\leq$ 330 $\degree$ C, SiO<sub>2</sub> $\geq$ 295, C<sub>1</sub>=140.82,  $C_2$ =0.23517, [Verma and Santoyo, 1997\)](#page-18-0),

$$
T_{\text{Na/Li}} = \frac{1049}{\log \left(\frac{\text{Na}}{\text{Li}}\right) + 0.44} - 273.15
$$

(if  $C<sub>0.3</sub>$  m,( up to 10,650 ppm),

Na, Li in molal units) or

$$
T_{\text{Na/Li}} = \frac{1267}{\log\left(\frac{\text{Na}}{\text{K}}\right) + 0.07} - 273.15\tag{2}
$$

 $(i$ f Cl $\geq$ 0.3 m, [Verma and Santoyo, 1997\)](#page-18-0),

$$
T_{\text{Na/K}} = \frac{1052}{1 + e^{\left(1.714 \log\left(\frac{\text{Na}}{\text{K}}\right) + 0.252\right)}} + 76\tag{3}
$$

 $(50 < T < 350$  °C, [Can, 2002\)](#page-17-0),

$$
T_{K/Mg} = \frac{4410}{14.0 - \log\left(\frac{K^2}{Mg}\right)} - 273.15\tag{4}
$$

([Giggenbach, 1988\)](#page-17-0),

$$
T_{\text{Na-K}-\text{Ca}} = \frac{1647}{\log\left(\frac{\text{Na}}{\text{K}}\right) + \beta\left(\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\text{Ca}}}{\text{Na}}\right) + 2.06\right) + 2.47}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{5}
$$

[Use  $\beta$ =4/3 if T <100 °C and  $\left(\log(\frac{\sqrt{Ca}}{Na}) + 2.06\right) > 0$ , but if T with  $\beta$ =4/3 is >100 °C, or the above function is negative, use  $\beta=1/3$  ([D'Amore et al., 1987; Fournier](#page-17-0) and Truesdell, 1973)],and seven gas geothermometers are employed from literature ([Table 4;](#page-9-0) [Arnorsson and](#page-17-0) Gunnlaugsson, 1985; Zhao and Armannsson, 1996; D'Amore and Panichi, 1980).

The calculated reservoir temperatures by different geothermometers for the same hot spring cover a wide range ([Tables 3 and 4\)](#page-6-0), which is due to the errors of calculating coefficients of geothermometric equations, analyzing chemical species of samples, sampling and errors related to the geologic and thermodynamic processes of the chemical equilibria involved in the reactions ([Verma and Santoyo, 1997, Zhao and](#page-18-0) Armannsson, 1996). This is not discussed in detail because it exceeds the subject of this paper. The temperature values calculated by chemical geothermometers, especially values of  $T_{\text{Na}-\text{K}-\text{Ca}}$  are generally larger than those calculated by gas ones. For chemical geothermometry, correlation coefficients among the calculated reservoir temperatures ranging from 0.4682  $(T_{\text{SiO2}}-T_{\text{K/Mg}})$  to 0.8257  $(T_{\text{K/Mg}}-T_{\text{Na-K–Ca}})$  indicate that there are positive correlations between the employed chemical geothermometers.  $T_{\text{Na}-\text{K}-\text{Ca}}$  gives the highest values (average of  $254 \degree C$  and deviation of 37 °C) of the calculated values, but  $T_{K/Mg}$  and  $T_{SiO2}$ give lower values. Values of  $T_{\text{Na/K}}$  have a mean of 222  $\degree$ C and the smallest deviation of 26  $\degree$ C. For the gas geothermometry, few values of  $T_{\text{CHS}}$ ,  $T_{\text{H2S}}$ ,  $T_{\text{H2}}$  and  $T_{H2S/H2}$  were computed because of lack of H<sub>2</sub>S and  $H_2$  data. Values of  $T_{CHS}$  are smaller, but those of  $T_{CO2/}$  $_{\text{N2}}$  and  $T_{\text{H2S/H2}}$  are higher. Mean value of  $T_{\text{CO2}}$  is 180  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  and with a smaller deviation of 34  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  and those of  $T_{\text{H2}}$ ,  $T_{\text{CO2/H2}}$  and  $T_{\text{CO2/N2}}$  are 208, 215 and 200 °C with larger deviations of 57, 75 and 62  $\degree$ C, respectively. It was reported that  $T_{CO2}$  values tend to be slightly lower than measured temperatures and that  $T_{H2S}$  and  $T_{H2}$  gethermometers need improvement when reservoir temperatures are higher than 220  $\degree$ C because of steam condensation during upflow and addition of carbon dioxide from a different source ([Zhao and Armannsson, 1996\)](#page-18-0). In addition, [Zhang et](#page-18-0) al. (1987) obtain an average value of  $215\pm28$  °C of reservoir temperatures calculated with  $SiO_2$ -quartz, Na/K and Na–K–Ca geothermometers, and the maximum value of  $276^{\circ}$ C estimated with chlorideenthalpy diagram of [Fournier \(1979\)](#page-17-0) for 20 geothermal manifestations in RGF. According to the calculated values, therefore, it seems reasonable to estimate the average reservoir temperature to be about  $250-300$  °C.

It is interesting that temperatures of the same spring calculated by the same geothermometer fluctuate from 1973 to 200 ([Fig. 8\)](#page-14-0). Chemical geothermometry of Dd, Dg and Yj shows a slight increase trend from 1973 to 1994, but that of Rs is not clear.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

Fig. 8. Temporal variations of reservoir temperatures calculated with chemical geothermometers (a–d) and gas geothermometers (e). (a) Temperatures of Dg, (b) Rs, (c) Yj, (d) Dd and (e) for Dg, Rs, Lg and Ht.

Data of Dg and Yj display high temperatures in 1980 and lower ones in 1990, and those of Rs show lower values in 1990 (Fig. 8a–d). In Fig. 8e, the gas geothermometry data of Dg show an increase trend from 1980 to 2000 and higher temperatures in 1994; data of Rs increase directly from 1992 to 2000; but curves of Lg and Ht show decrease trends. In 1990, amount of cool water contributed to the hot springs is

more than those in other years ([Fig. 3\)](#page-7-0), and more air is mixed with spring gases in 1990 ([Fig. 6\)](#page-10-0). Those agree with lower temperatures in 1990 (Fig. 8a–c). Higher temperatures in 1994 and 1998 (Fig. 8) correspond with higher  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratios ([Table 4\)](#page-9-0), shifting of  $\delta$ D and  $\delta^{18}$ O to direction of mixing of steam-condensed water derived from deep lithosphere ([Fig. 5\)](#page-8-0) and occurrences of many hydrothermal explosions, which

indicate that more deep fluids are contributed to the hot springs. Mantle and magmatic fluids act as the heat transmitter. Heat derived from upper mantle and lower crust is likely to be predominantly transmitted by upward migration of deep-earth fluids in geothermal and Quaternary volcanic areas because variation of conductivity of middle and upper crust in the area is small. It can be considered, therefore, that variations of contributions of deep fluid and cool waters are the main cause of reservoir temperature variations with time, which affect chemical reactions related to geothermometers and the processes reaching equilibria.

#### 4.4. Carbon isotope geothermometry

Carbon isotope ratios of carbon-bearing pieces in five hot springs of RGF were listed in [Table 5.](#page-12-0) Water samples Dg and Lg have no measurable carbonate anion in solution so that no carbon isotope value was obtained. Water sample Zz was collected from a "new" hot spring on the road to a paper factory, and no travertine was collected.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of bicarbonate and carbonate anions are in the narrow ranges of  $-6.7\%$  to  $-4.2\%$  and of  $-6.4\%$  to  $-4.2\%$ , respectively, which is concordant with those of total dissolved inorganic carbon in RGF  $(-5.17\% \text{ of the})$  $-3.13\%$ , [Tong and Zhang, 1989\)](#page-18-0).  $\delta^{13}$ C values of travertine have a wide range from  $-27.1\%$  to 0.6\% $\sigma$ , which may hint that travertine may be originally formed in different processes of chemical and biochemical deposition. Carbon isotope fractionation  $(\Delta^{13}C)$  between  $CO_2$  and HCO<sub>3</sub> in the hot springs is small  $({\Delta}^{13}C_{(CO2-HCO3)}$  from  $-1.8\%$  to 1.8%), but values of  $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{(CO2-HC4)}}$  and  $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{(CO2-Trav)}}$  are larger, with wide ranges from  $18.5\%$  to  $40.1\%$  and  $-24.7\%$ to 7.0‰, respectively.  $\Delta^{13}C_{(Trav-HCO3)}$  values range from  $-6.0\%$  to 23.9\%, but  $\Delta^{13}C_{(CO3-HCO3)}$  values in the hot springs are negligible.

Carbon isotope ratios of coexisting  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $CO_3^-$  and travertine provide thermal information of hydrothermal reservoir. On the assumption of equilibrium of isotopic exchange between  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at subsurface reservoir, temperature of the reservoir can be estimated with calculation of [Bottinga \(1969\)](#page-17-0) ([Giggenbach, 1982; Bergfeld et al.,](#page-17-0) 2001) and  $\beta$  factors ([Richet et al., 1977\)](#page-18-0) based upon carbon isotope fractionation between  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The temperatures of reservoirs, estimated with  $t=22166/(4+13.86)-273.15$  ([Giggenbach, 1982; Bot](#page-17-0)tinga, 1969), are in the range from about 78 to 450  $^{\circ}$ C, and those calculated with  $t=exp[(143.36-\Delta)/$ 20.75] corrected using  $\beta$  factors ([Richet et al., 1977\)](#page-18-0) range from 93 to 446  $^{\circ}$ C ([Table 5\)](#page-12-0). Carbon isotope data of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  of sample Ht produced a lower temperature that is unreliable because equilibrium between magmatic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and bacteria CH<sub>4</sub> was not reached. The differences ( $|\Delta t|$ ) between the calculated temperatures using the two equations are 0 to  $7^{\circ}$ C. Our data of Rs, Lg, Dg, Ht and Zz result in reservoir temperatures about 138 to 389  $\degree$ C, and data from [Dai](#page-17-0) et al. (1995) gave temperatures ranging from 393 to 450  $\degree$ C. Calculated temperatures of 1994 are higher than those of 2000 for the same hot springs ([Table 5\)](#page-12-0), which is concordant with higher temperatures calculated by chemical and gas geothermometers in 1990 and 1994 ([Fig. 8\)](#page-14-0). Temperatures of larger than 260  $\rm{C}$ , up to 450  $\rm{C}$ , may indicate deep high temperature reservoir existence in RGF and/or variation of reservoir temperature that caused by varying contributions of mantle/magma fluids with time. The highest temperature of  $450\degree C$  might indicate that fluids from deep high temperature aquifer migrated quickly up to the surface, which is strongly supported by the fact that hydrothermal explosion or mud volcanic activities have been more frequent since 1990 as mentioned above, and that higher helium isotopic ratios were detected in the eruption sites ([Shangguan et al., 2004\)](#page-18-0). In addition, strong lowvelocity zones, high heat flow, the negative gravity anomaly and low electric resistivity suggest the existence of magma chambers in the shallow crust (about 7 km) and upper mantle (85 km) under the Tengchong volcanic area ([Sun et al., 1989; Huang et](#page-18-0) al., 2002; Lou et al., 2002) from which plenty of heat and volatiles are derived. Therefore, there may be higher temperature fluid layers at depth of more than 3000 m. Consequently, it can be inferred that reservoir temperatures in RGF possibly range from 222 to 450  $\degree$ C that varies temporally as the temperature pulsation of the hot springs, as a consequence of recent severe hydrogeothermal activity and variation of mantle fluid supply. Other causes for variation of calculated temperatures may be that chemically calculated temperatures of the reservoirs would be lower than actual ones because the chemical compositions of the hot spring waters are easily modified by incorporation of shallow cool water. However, in the case of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  which have reached isotopic equilibrium, carbon isotope compositions of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  seem to be affected slightly by shallow water addition during upward migration, and obvious mixture of bacteria methane can be eliminated in calculation. Therefore, the reservoir temperatures estimated by carbon isotope geothermometer of  $CH<sub>4</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>$  would be rather close to the substantial temperatures of geothermal reservoirs in RGF. Carbon isotopic equilibrium between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  may reach in some hot springs in the studied area because the lower concentration CH4 and high concentration  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  show signatures of abiogenic/mantle origins ([Dai](#page-17-0) et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1994), even though isotopic equilibria between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  in geothermal systems are usually not obtained ([Bergfeld et al.,](#page-17-0) 2001).

Dissolved inorganic carbon  $(HCO_3^-$ ,  $CO_3^=$ ) in geothermal fluids results from solution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and dissolution of carbonate minerals.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of  $HCO_3^-$  and  $CO_3^-$  are a function of  $\delta^{13}$ C values of such  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and carbonate minerals, which are controlled by temperature, water–rock interaction, carbonate precipitation from fluid and equilibrium process.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of dissolved bicarbonate and carbonate in RGF are in a narrow range except for sample Lg ([Table 5\)](#page-12-0), which are concordant with data  $(-10.18\%$  to  $-2.70\%$ ) of total dissolved inorganic carbon in Tengchong volcanic area ([Tong and Zhang, 1989\)](#page-18-0). Deposit sample Lg is predominantly consisted of silica sinter, and contains much lower concentration of carbonate with very negative values  $(-27.1\%$ , [Table](#page-12-0) 5). The  $^{13}$ C depleted carbonate in the sample Lg may be biochemically formed. Using the formulations of [Halas et al. \(1997\)](#page-17-0) and [Szaran \(1997\)](#page-18-0) and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of  $CO<sub>2</sub>(g)$ , HCO<sub>3</sub><sup> $-$ </sup> (aq) and CO<sub>3</sub><sup> $-$ </sup> (aq), temperatures of the hot springs in RGF are estimated between 60 and  $70^{\circ}$ C which are much lower than those measured in the field and calculated by other geothermometers ([Tables 3–5\)](#page-6-0). This result from that isotopic equilibriums between  $CO<sub>2</sub>(g)$  and  $HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (aq) and  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (aq) at the hot springs was not achieved because water and carbon dioxide discharge/escape immediately from the springs and the magnitude of carbon isotope equilibrium in the system  $HCO_3^-$  (aq)– $CO_2(g)$  was directly proportion to isotopic exchange time ([Szaran,](#page-18-0)

1997). Therefore, it would be caution to estimate reservoir temperature by the carbon isotope geothermometers of HCO<sub>3</sub> (aq)–CO<sub>2</sub>(g), CO<sub>2</sub>(g)–CO<sub>3</sub> (aq), HCO<sub>3</sub> (aq)–CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (aq) and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (aq)–CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (travertine).

## 5. Summary

Combining with helium isotope compositions, isotopic compositions of carbon dioxide indicate that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  of the hot springs in RGF is predominantly derived from mantle/magmatic sources and partially from sedimentary carbonate. Dissolved inorganic carbon is mainly derived from mantle carbon dioxide, and other ions in the studied geothermal water originate from rocks through which the fluids circulate. Excess <sup>3</sup> He from the hot springs in RGF is derived from mantle with crustal helium dilution and atmospheric addition, and hot spring water is originated from meteoric water. Methane in the hot springs is derived from mantle ([Dai et al., 1995\)](#page-17-0) and decomposition of organic matter, and the magnitude of CH4 concentrations changes periodically because the groundwater and mantle gas inputs changed temporally.

The geothermal and geochemical data indicate that reservoir temperatures varied from 1973 to 2000, which was resulted from time variations of contributions of mantle fluids and shallow crust fluids in Rehai geothermal field. The temperatures for the geothermal reservoirs of RGF the Tengchong volcanic area, Yunnan Province, Southwest China, estimated by the various geothermometries, range from about 220 to 450  $\degree$ C. The shallow reservoirs may have temperature about 250–300. A temperature of 450  $\degree$ C may present temperature of the deep fluid aquifer or the upper limit of reservoir temperature.

The <sup>13</sup>C values of CO<sub>2</sub>,  $HCO_3^-$  (aq) and CO<sub>3</sub><sup> $=$ </sup> (aq) show that isotopic equilibriums between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $HCO_3^-$  (aq),  $CO_2^-$  and  $CO_3^-$  (aq) and between DIC and travertine were not achieved, and no carbon isotope fractionation between  $HCO_3^-$  (aq) and  $CO_3^=$  (aq) in the hot springs of RGF was found. Therefore, it is hard to estimate temperature of reservoir by the carbon isotope geothermometers of  $HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  (aq)– $CO<sub>2</sub>(g)$ ,  $CO_{2}(g)$ – $CO_{3}^{-}$  (aq), HCO<sub>3</sub> (aq)– $CO_{3}^{-}$  (aq) and HCO<sub>3</sub>  $(aq)$ – $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>$  (travertine).

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to senior engineer Qing J. (Yunnan Seismological Bureau) for his help during the geological survey and to Profs. Dong A. and Wen Q. for analyzing carbon isotope compositions and Dr. Li L. for analyzing gas compositions, and wish to express their thanks to Dr. Fraser Goff (Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA) and another anonymous reviewer for helpful reviews and comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This work was supported by NSFC (No.10299040).

#### References

- Arnorsson, S., 1985. The use of mixing models and chemical geothermometers for estimating underground temperatures in geothermal systems. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 23, 235 – 299.
- Arnorsson, S., Gunnlaugsson, E., 1985. New gas geothermalometers for geothermal exploration—calibration and application. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 49, 1307 – 1325.
- Bergfeld, D., Goff, F., Janik, C.J., 2001. Carbon isotope systematics and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sources in The Geysers–Clear Lake region, northern California, USA. Geothermics 30, 303 – 331.
- Bottinga, Y., 1969. Calculated fractionation factors for carbon and hydrogen isotope exchange in the system calcite–carbon dioxide–graphite–methane–hydrogen–water vapor. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 33, 49-64.
- Can, I., 2002. A new improved Na/K geothermometer by artificial neural networks. Geothermics 31, 751 – 760.
- Dai, J., Song, Y., Dai, C., Cheng, A., Sun, M., Liao, Y., 1995. Abiogenic gases and accumulation in eastern China. Science Press, Beijing, pp. 38-41 (in Chinese).
- D'Amore, F., Panichi, C., 1980. Evaluation of deep temperature of hydrothermal systems by a new gas geothermometer. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 44, 549-556.
- D'Amore, F., Fancelli, R., Caboi, R., 1987. Observations on the application of chemical geothermometers to some hydrothermal systems in Sardinia. Geothermics 16 (3), 271-282.
- Du, J., 1994. Helium isotope evidence of mantle degassing in rift valley, Eastern China. Chin. Sci. Bull. 39 (12), 1021-1024.
- Du, J., Li, S., Zhao, Y., Ren, J., Sun, R., Duanmu, H., 1999. Geochemical characteristics of gases from the volcanic area Wudalianchi, Northeastern China. Acta Geol. Sin. 73 (2),  $225 - 229$ .
- Du, J., Jin, Z., Xie, H., Bai, L., Liu, W., 2003. Stable carbon isotope compositions of gaseous hydrocarbons produced from high pressure and high temperature pyrolysis of lignite. Org. Geochem. 34, 97-104.
- Du, J., Cheng, W., Jian, C., Guan, Z., in press. Helium and carbon isotopic compositions of thermal springs in earthquake zone of Sichuan, southwestern China. J. Asian Earth Sci.
- Fournier, R.O., 1979. Geochemical and hydrologic considerations and the use of enthalpy-chloride diagrams in the prediction of underground condition in hot-spring systems. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.  $5, 1-6$ .
- Fournier, R.O., Truesdell, A.H., 1973. An empirical Na–K–Ca geothermometer for natural waters. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 37, 1255 – 1273.
- Giggenbach, W.F., 1982. Carbon-13 exchange between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and CH4 under geothermal conditions. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 159 – 165.
- Giggenbach, W.F., 1988. Geothermal solute equilibria: derivation of Na–K–Ma–Ca geoindicators. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 52, 2749 – 2765.
- Goff, F., Janik, C.J., 2000. Geothermal systems. In: Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., McNutt, S., Rymer, H., Stix, J. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Volcanoes. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 817 – 834.
- Goff, F., Janik, C.J., 2002. Gas geochemistry of the Valles caldera region, New Mexico and comparisons with gases at Yellowstone, Long Valley and other geothermal system. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 116, 299-323.
- Halas, S., Szaran, J., Niezgoda, H., 1997. Experimental determination of carbon isotope equilibrium fractionation between dissolved carbonate and carbon dioxide. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 61 (13), 2691-2695.
- Huang, J., Zhao, D., Zheng, S., 2002. Lithospheric structure and its relationship to seismic and volcanic activity in southwest China. J. Geophys. Res. 107 (B10), 2255.
- Jiang, C., 1998. Period division of volcano activities in the Cenozoic era of Tengchong. J. Seismol. Res. 21 (4), 320 – 329 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Jiang, C., Zhou, R., Yao, X., 1998. Fault structure of Tengchong volcano. J. Seismol. Res. 21 (4), 330-336 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, Y., 1991. Geochemical characteristics of hot springs in Tengchong volcanic area and Honghe fault zone. Master thesis, Lanzhou Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Lanzhou, China (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liao, Z., Guo, G., 1986. Geology of the Tengchong geothermal field and surrounding area, West Yunnan, China. Geothermics 15 (3),  $339 - 345$
- Liao, Z., Zhao, P., 1999. Geothermal Zones in Yunnan and Tibet. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Lou, H., Wang, C., Huangfu, G., Qin, J., 2002. Three-dimensional seismic velocity tomography of the upper crust in Tenchong volcanic area, Yunnan Province. Acta Seismol. Sin. 24 (3), 243 – 251 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Mamyrin, B.A., Tolstikhin, L.N., 1984. Helium Isotopes in Nature. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 75 – 192.
- Minissale, A., Chandrasekharam, D., Vaselli, O., Magro, G., Tassi, F., Pansini, G.L., Bhramhabut, A., 2003. Geochemistry, geothermics and relationship to active tectonics of Gujarat and Rajasthan thermal discharges, India. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 127, 19-32.
- Notsu, K., Nakai, S., Igarashi, G., Ishibashi, J., Mori, T., Suzuki, M., Wakita, H., 2001. Spatial distribution and temporal variation of <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He in hot spring gas released from Unzen volcanic area, Japan. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 111, 89 – 98.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Ohsawa, S., Yusa, Y., Wang, Y., 1995. Geochemical modeling of a hydrothermal system in Tenchong volcanic region, Yunnan Province, China. J. Balneological Soc. Jpn. 45 (1), 13-25 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Richet, P., Bottinga, Y., Javoy, M., 1977. A review of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur, and chlourine stable isotope fractionation among gaseous molecules. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 5, 65 – 110.
- Shangguan, Z., Bai, C., Sun, M., 2000. Recent characteristics degassing from mantle-origin magma in Rehai of Tengchong county. Sci. China, Ser. D: Earth Sci. 30 (4), 407 – 414.
- Shangguan, Z., Gao, Q., Li, H., Sun, M., 2004. The evolution of hydrothermal explosions in stages in Rehai region, Tengchong. Bull. Mineral. Petrol. Geochem. 23 (2), 124 – 128 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Shevenell, L., Goff, F., 1995. Evolution of hydrothermal waters at Mount St. Elens, Washington, USA. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 69, 73 – 94.
- Stahl, W.J., 1977. Carbon and nitrogen isotopes in hydrocarbon research and exploration. Chem. Geol. 20, 121 – 149.
- Sun, J., Xu, C., Jiang, Z., 1989. The electric structure of the crust and upper mantle under western Yunnan and its relationship to crustal tectonics. Seismol. Geol. 11, 35 – 45 (in Chinese).
- Szaran, J., 1997. Achievement of carbon isotope equilibrium in the system  $HCO_3^-$  (solution)– $CO_2$  (gas). Chem. Geol. 142 (1–2),  $79 - 86.$
- Taylor, B.E., 1986. Magmatic volatiles: isotopic variation of C, H, and S. Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 16, 185 – 225.
- Tong, W., Zhang, M., 1989. Tengchong geothermics. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Verma, S.P., Santoyo, E., 1997. New improved equations Na/K, Na/Li and SiO2 geothermamometers by outlier detection and rejection. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 79, 9-23.
- Wang, X., Chen, J., Li, Y., Wen, Q., Sun, M., Li, C., Hu, G., 1994. Volcanic activity revealed by isotope systematics of gases from hydrothermal springs in Tengchong, China. In: Matsuda, J. (Ed.), Noble Gas Geochemistry and Cosmochemistry. Terra Scientific Publishing, Tokyo, pp. 293-304.
- Wei, H., Sparks, R.S.J., Liu, R., Fan, Q., Wang, Y., Hong, H., Zhang, H., Chen, H., Jiang, C., Dong, J., Zheng, Y., Pan, Y., 2003. Three active volcanoes in China and their hazards. J. Asian Earth Sci. 21, 515 – 526.
- Xu, S., Nakai, S., Wakita, H., Wang, X., Chen, J., 1994. Helium isotopic compositions in Quaternary volcanic geothermal area near Indo-Eurasian collisional margin at Tengchong, China. In: Matsuda, J. (Ed.), Noble Gas Geochemistry and Cosmochemistry. Terra Scientific Publishing, Tokyo, pp. 305 – 313.
- Yokoyama, T., Nakai, S., Wakita, H., 1999. Helium and carbon isotopic compositions of hot spring gases in the Tibetan Plateau. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 88, 99 – 107.
- Zhang, Z., Liu, S., Zhao, F., 1987. Geochemistry of thermal waters in the Tengchong volcanic geothermal area, West Yunnan Province, China. Geothermics 16 (2), 169-179.
- Zhao, P., Armannsson, H., 1996. Gas geothermometry in selected Icelandic geothermal fields with comparative examples from Kenya. Geothermics 25 (3), 307 – 347.
- Zhu, M., Tong, W., 1987. Surface hydrothermal minerals and their distribution in the Tengchong geothermal area, China. Geothermics 16 (2), 181-195.